

Dynamic semantics

N. Meng, F. Poursardar



Dynamic Semantics

- Describe the meaning of expressions, statements, and program units
- No single widely acceptable notation or formalism for describing semantics
- Two common approaches:
 - Operational
 - Denotational

Operational Semantics

- Gives a program's meaning in terms of its implementation on a real or virtual machine
- Change in the state of the machine (memory, registers, etc.) defines the meaning of the statement

3

Operational Semantics Definition Process

- I. Design an appropriate intermediate language. Each construct of the intermediate language must have an obvious and unambiguous meaning
- 2. Construct a virtual machine (an interpreter) for the intermediate language. The virtual machine can be used to execute either single statements, code segments, or whole programs

An Example

С	Operational Semantics
<pre>for (expr1; expr2; expr3) { }</pre>	<pre>expr1; loop: if expr2 == 0 goto out</pre>

 The virtual computer is supposed to be able to correctly "execute" the instructions and recognize the effects of the "execution"

Key Points of Operational Semantics

- Advantages
 - May be simple and intuitive for small examples
 - Good if used informally
 - Useful for implementation
- Disadvantages
 - Very complex for large programs
 - Lacks mathematical rigor

Typical Usage of Operational Semantics

- Vienna Definition Language (VDL) used to define PL/I (Wegner 1972)
- Unfortunately, VDL is so complex that it serves no practical purpose

Denotational Semantics

- The most rigorous, widely known method for describing the meaning of programs
- Solely based on recursive function theory
- Originally developed by Scott and Strachey (1970)

Denotational Semantics

- Key Idea
 - Define for each language entity both a mathematical object, and a function that maps instances of that entity onto instances of the mathematical object
- The basic idea
 - There are rigorous ways of manipulating mathematical objects but not programming language constructs
 - The objects are rigorously defined, they model the exact meaning of their corresponding entities

Denotational Semantics

- Difficulty
 - How to create the objects and the mapping functions?
- The method is named denotational, because the mathematical objects denote the meaning of their corresponding syntactic entities

Denotational vs. Operational

- Both denotational semantics and operational semantics are defined in terms of state changes in a virtual machine
- In operational semantics, the state changes are defined by coded algorithms in the machine
- In denotational semantics, the state change is defined by rigorous mathematical functions

Program State

• Let the state s of a program be a set of pairs as follows:

$$\{\langle i_1, v_1 \rangle, \langle i_2, v_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle i_n, v_n \rangle\}$$

- Each i is the name of a variable
- The associated \mathbf{v} is the current value of the variable
- Any v can have the special value undef, indicating that the associated variable is undefined
- Let VARMAP be a function as follows:

 $VARMAP(i_i, s) = v_i$

Program State

- Most semantics mapping functions for programs and program constructs map from states to states
- These state changes are used to define the meanings of programs and program constructs
- Some language constructs, such as expressions, are mapped to values, not state changes

An Example



Example Semantic Rule Design

Describing the meaning of binary numbers using denotational semantics

- Mathematical objects
 - Decimal number equivalence for each binary number
- Functions
 - Map binary numbers to decimal numbers
 - Rules with terminals as RHS are translated as direct mappings from terminals to mathematical objects
 - Rules with nonterminals as RHS are translated as manipulations on mathematical objects

Example Semantic Rules

Syntax Rules		Semantic Rules
<bin_num>->`0'</bin_num>		M _{bin} (`0')=0
<bin_num>->`1'</bin_num>		M _{bin} (`1')=1
<bin_num>-><bin_num></bin_num></bin_num>	` 0′	M _{bin} (<bin_num> `0') =</bin_num>
<bin_num>-><bin_num></bin_num></bin_num>	` 1′	2*M _{bin} (<bin_num>)</bin_num>
		M _{bin} (<bin_num> `1') =</bin_num>
		2*M _{bin} (<bin_num>)+1</bin_num>

The **syntactic domain** of the mapping function for binary numbers is the set of all character string representations of binary numbers.

The **semantic domain** is the set of nonnegative decimal numbers.

VIRGINIA TECH

Expressions

• CFG for expressions

<expr> -> <dec_num> | <var> | <binary_expr>

To distinguish between mathematical function definitions and the assignment statements of programming languages, we use symbol Δ = to define mathematical functions The implication symbol => used in this definition to connect the form of an operand with its associated switch

. used to refer to a child nodes of a node

Expressions

```
M_{a}(\langle expr \rangle, s) \Delta =
   case <expr> of
     <dec_num> \Rightarrow M_{dec}(<dec_num>)
     <var> \Rightarrow VARMAP(<var>, s)
     <binary_expr> \Rightarrow
       if (<binary_expr>.<op> = '+') then
          M<sub>c</sub>(<binary_expr>.<l_expr>, s) +
           M_{a}(< binary expr>.< r expr>, s)
       else
           M_{c}(<binary expr>.<| expr>, s) *
           M<sub>e</sub>(<binary_expr>.<r_expr>, s)
```

Let Z be the set of integers, and let **error** be the error value.

Then Z U {**error**} is the *semantic domain* for the denotational specification for our expressions.

Statement Basics

• The meaning of a single statement executed in a state s is a new state s', which reflects the effects of the statement

 $M_{stmt}(stmt, s) = s'$

Assignment Statements

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{a}(\mathsf{x}:=\mathsf{E},\mathsf{s})\;\Delta=\\ \mathsf{s'}=\{<\!\!i_{1}\!\!,\!v_{1}\!\!,\!\!\!>,\!<\!\!i_{2}\!\!,\!v_{2}\!\!'>,...,\!<\!\!i_{n}\!\!,\!\!v_{n}\!\!'>\},\\ \text{where for }j=1,2,...,n,\\ \mathsf{v}_{j}\!'=\!\mathsf{VARMAP}(\mathsf{i}_{j},\mathsf{s}) \quad \text{if }\;\mathsf{i}_{j}\neq\mathsf{x}\\ \mathsf{v}_{j}\!'=\mathsf{M}_{e}(\mathsf{E},\mathsf{s}) \qquad \text{if }\;\mathsf{i}_{j}=\mathsf{x} \end{split}$$

Note that the comparison above, $i_i = x$, is of names, not values.

VIRGINIA TECH.

VIRGINIA TECH...

Initial state
$$s_0 =$$

 $M_{stmt}(P_0, s_0) = M_{stmt}(P_1, M_a(x := 5, s_0))$
 S_1

 $s_1 = \langle mem_1, i_1, o_1 \rangle$ where $VARMAP(x, s_1) = 5$ $VARMAP(z, s_1) = VARMAP(z, s_0)$ for all $z \neq x$ $i_1 = i_0, o_1 = o_0$

x := 5;
y := x + 1;
write(x * y); } P2
$$P1 P0$$

$$M_{stmt}(P_1, s_1) = M_{stmt}(P_2, M_a(\underline{y := x + 1, s_1}))$$

$$s_2$$

$$s_2 = \langle mem_2, i_2, o_2 \rangle$$
 where
 $VARMAP(y, s_2) = M_e(x + 1, s_1) = 6$
 $VARMAP(z, s_2) = VARMAP(z, s_1)$ for all $z \neq y$
 $i_2 = i_1, o_2 = o_1$

VIRGINIA TECH...

x := 5;
y := x + 1;
write(x * y); } P1
$$P0$$

$$M_{stmt}(P_{2}, s_{2}) = M_{stmt}(write(x * y), s_{2}) = s_{3}$$

$$s_{3} = } where$$

$$VARMAP(z, s_{3}) = VARMAP(z, s_{2}) \text{ for all } z_{3} = i_{2}, o_{3} = o_{2} \bullet M_{e}(x * y, s_{2}) = o_{2} \bullet 30$$

VIRGINIA TECH...

Therefore,

 $M_{stmt}(P, s_{0}) = s_{3} = <mem_{3}, i_{3}, o_{3} > where$ $VARMAP(y, s_{3}) = 6$ $VARMAP(x, s_{3}) = 5$ $VARMAP(z, s_{3}) = VARMAP(z, s_{0}) \text{ for all } z \neq x, y$ $i_{3} = i_{0}$ $o_{3} = o_{0} \cdot 30$

VIRGINIA TECH.

Logical Pretest Loops

- The meaning of the loop is the value of program variables after the loop body has been executed the prescribed number of times, assuming there have been no errors
- The loop is converted from iteration to recursion (in denotational semantics), where the recursion control is mathematically defined by other recursive state mapping functions
- Recursion is easier to describe with mathematical rigor than iteration

Logical Pretest Loop



we assume that there are two other existing mapping functions, M_{stmt} and M_b, that map statement lists and states to states and Boolean expressions to Boolean values (or **error**), respectively

Key Points of Denotational Semantics

- Advantages
 - Compact & precise, with solid mathematical foundation
 - Provide a **rigorous** way to think about programs
 - Can be used to prove the correctness of programs
 - Can be an aid to language design

Key Points of Denotational Semantics

- Disadvantages
 - Require mathematical sophistication
 - Hard for programmer to use
- Uses
 - Semantics for Algol-60, Pascal, etc.
 - Compiler generation and optimization

Summary

- Each form of semantic description has its place
- Operational semantics
 - Informally describe the meaning of language constructs in terms of their effects on an ideal machine
- Denotational semantics
 - Formally define mathematical objects and functions to represent the meanings