

# CSCE 790 Power-conscious Computing -- Referee Report

Paper Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Paper: \_\_\_\_\_

Author(s): \_\_\_\_\_

## Section I. Overview

### A. Reader Interest

1. Which category describes this manuscript?

- Practice / Application / Case Study / Experience Report
- Research / Technology
- Survey / Tutorial / How-To

2. How relevant is this manuscript to the readers of this periodical? Please explain your rating under IIIA.

- Very Relevant
- Relevant
- Interesting - but not very relevant
- Irrelevant

### B. Content

1. Please explain how this manuscript advances this field of research and / or contributes something new to the literature. Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

2. Is the manuscript technically sound? Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

- Yes
- Appears to be - but didn't check completely
- Partially
- No

### C. Presentation

1. Are the title, abstract, and keywords appropriate? Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

- Yes
- No

2. Does the manuscript contain sufficient and appropriate references? Please explain your answer under IIIA.

- References are sufficient and appropriate
- Important references are missing; more references are needed
- Number of references are excessive

3. Does the introduction state the objectives of the manuscript in terms that encourage the reader to read on? Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

- Yes
- Could be improved
- No

4. How would you rate the organization of the manuscript? Is it focused? Is the length appropriate for the topic? Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

- Satisfactory
- Could be improved
- Poor

5. Please rate and comment on the readability of this manuscript. Please explain your answer under IIIA.

- Easy to read
- Readable - but requires some effort to understand
- Difficult to read and understand
- Unreadable

## **Section II. Summary and Recommendation**

### **A. Evaluation**

Please rate the manuscript. Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

- Award Quality
- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

### **B. Recommendation**

Please make your recommendation. Please explain your answer under IIIA. Public Comments.

- Accept with no changes
- Author should prepare a minor revision
- Author should prepare a major revision for a second review
- Reject

## **Section III. Detailed Comments**

### **A. Public Comments (these will be made available to the author)**

#### **Explanation for the Recommendation**

-----

## **Summary of the Paper and Assessment**

---