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A Bit of Background: Gang Wang

- **Assistant Professor of Computer Science**
  - Ph.D. from UC Santa Barbara (2016)
  - B.E. from Tsinghua University (2010)
- **Research interests**
  - Security and Privacy
  - Data Mining
  - Human Computer Interactions
- Outstanding Dissertation Award (UCSB)
- Best Practical Paper Award (SIGMETRICS’13)
- Research at Microsoft Research and LinkedIn (2011, 2012, 2014)
- Press coverage: *MIT Technology Review*, *Fusion*, *Boston Globe*, etc.

Looking for bright PhD/MS students to work with me!
Humans: The Weakest Link

• Data breaches caused by human factors
  – Anthem: largest breach in 2015
  – 80 Million records leaked (SSN, name, birthday)

• A growing concern
  – More recently: MySpace leaked 400 Million passwords (May 2016)
  – 1564 breaches, 1.5 Billion records leaked (2014 - 2015)
  – 95% security incidents involved human factors [1]

[1] IBM Security Services 2014 Cyber Security Intelligence Index
Attacks Targeting Users Now Common

• Malicious content target human users daily
  – Massive email/social spam, scam
  – Targeted spear phishing, like this one:

Hi Gang,

I am a recruiter here with Amazon Data Science in Ireland. I am hoping to talk to you about a Systems Engineering role which I am hiring for at the moment.

This position is based on our data science team here in Dublin, Ireland and offers a competitive compensation plan, as well as a fantastic opportunity for continuous career growth and professional development in a challenging work environment. I think you could be a good match :) Please find at the link below some information on the role itself and please let me know if you would considering applying. http://tinyurl.com/qxadbqf

[Shortened URL to a phishing site: http://amazen.xxxx.com]
Understanding Human Factors

• Key questions
  – What are human’s roles in online attacks?
  – How to understand user behaviors in online systems?
  – How to leverage this understanding to improve security?

• Traditional user study has limitations
  – Interview/survey: trade breadth with depth
  – High costs, does not scale

Need a scalable approach to study human factors in security

Potential solution: leverage detailed data on user behavior!
Data-driven Approach to Improving Online Security Through Users

Understanding online users and attacks
• Large-scale online/mobile communities
• Spam, malware, malicious crowdsourcing

User behavior modeling
• Graph-based behavior models
• Clickstream based behavior models

Data-driven security systems
• Behavior based security defenses
• Adversarial machine learning

Measurements
• Behavior based security defenses

Modeling
• Clickstream based behavior models

Systems
• Adversarial machine learning
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Talk Outline

1. Emerging Threat of Sybil Devices
   - Simulated mobile devices pretending to be real users
   - Manipulate online services at a large-scale
   - Example attacks: location tracking on Waze

[MobiSys’16]

2. Clickstream based User Behavior Model
   - Build hierarchy of behavior clusters
   - Automatically extract key distinguishing features
   - Detect fake accounts, track dynamic behavior changes

[CHI’16]
Mobile Phone = Your Identity?

- Mobile phones for content, payment, authentication

- Mobile devices are virtual representations of ourselves.
But Is This a Safe Assumption?

• An app user = 1 real phone + 1 real person
Can We “Authenticate” Devices?

- Register via email account
- Require CAPTCHAs
- 2FA via phone number
- Validate IMEI number

- Create fake email account
- Out-source to third party
- Temporary SMS services
- Spoofed IMEI

Highly challenging to authenticate a mobile device!
Threat of Sybil Devices

• **Sybil devices**
  – Software scripts emulating as real devices
  – Allowing a single user to control many devices

• **In the context of Waze (popular navigation app)**
  – Creating a large number of Sybil devices with low costs
  – Attacks: injecting fake events, user location tracking
  – Generalizable to other mobile communities
Key Features

- 50M active users
- Real-time traffic update using millions of users’ locations

User reported events
- Accidents, police trap, etc.
- Alert users of nearby events

Social features
- See nearby users on the map
- Say “hi”/msg nearby users
Creating Sybil Devices

- Naïve approach: mobile emulators
  - Not scalable: ~10 emulators per PC
- Our way: emulate a mobile client using scripts
  - Server communicates with client via limited APIs
  - Mimic API calls to replace full client

We can create 10,000 Sybil devices on a single PC
Attack #1: Polluting Waze Database

- Fake road-side events.
  - Any type of event at any location
  - Potentially affect 1+ billion Google Maps users

Before

After

Users are re-routed
Attack #2: User Location Tracking

• Follow (stalk) any Waze user in real-time
  – Waze marks nearby users on the map

• Pinpoint to exact GPS location
  – Specific hotels, gas stations, etc.

• Remain invisible
  – Move in and out quickly

• Track users in the background
  – Waze uploads GPS in the background

• Track users across days
  – Use creation time as GUID
A Tracking Example

Experiments

- Two highly challenging tracking scenarios
  - High-way 101 (high traveling speed)
  - LA downtown (high nearby user density)
- 40+ mins driving, both cases were successful
**Conversation With Waze**

- Notify Waze and Google
- Pitch work to Fusion
  - Fusion report on tracking
  - Media attention
- More news coverage
  +21 more
- Working with Waze

**Time**

- Nov. 14 2014
- Oct. 18 2015
- Apr. 16 2016
- Apr. 26 2016
- Apr. 27 2016
- May. 11 2016

**1st code change:**
remove background GPS upload

**2nd code change:**
disable social function for v3.5

**Public PR release**

**+16 more**

**+21 more**
Waze’s Security Measures

- No background GPS
- Hide GPS if not moving
- Hide start/end location

- Disable social feature (v5.3-)
- Special encoding for APIs

- Remove username
- Scramble creation time
- Require SMS verification

- Oct. 18 2015
- Apr. 27 2016
- Apr. 29 2016
- May 11 2016
- May 17 2016
- May 23 2016

- Track active users
- Start collaboration
- Use temporary SMS services to pass verification
- Validate via experiments

- Yes, we can still track Waze users
- Much less location information being shared
Sybil device problem is not specific to Waze – Foursquare, Yelp, Uber, Lyft, Tinder, Whisper
- Reverse engineer APIs à light-weight clients

- Tinder/Whisper
  - Locate (triangulate) users

- Uber/Lyft
  - Track drivers
  - Fake rides

- Pokemon Go
  - Find Pokemon without walking

Broad Implications on Other Apps

Key Takeaway
- Apps that support “human-to-human” interactions ➔ leak user data
- Sybil devices make this a bigger concern
1. Emerging Threat of Sybil Devices

2. Clickstream based User Behavior Model
   - Build hierarchy of behavior clusters
   - Automatically extract key distinguishing features
   - Detect fake accounts, track dynamic behavior changes
Understanding Online Users

- An increasing need to understand user behavior
  - What are the prevalent types of user behaviors?
  - How to identify and understand these behaviors?
  - Do user behaviors evolve/change over time?

- Are there undesired behaviors (job scams)?
- Is the company doing well?
- Can we predict key trends in professional/stock market?
Clickstream: You are How You Click

- Clickstream analysis for behavior modeling
  - Clickstream: a sequence of click events (and time gaps)
  - Suitable for identifying fine-grained user behaviors

Our Goals
1. Identify natural clusters of user behavior based on clickstreams
2. Extract semantic meanings for captured behaviors
3. Scalable for large online services
User Behavior Model

- Key intuitions
  - Users naturally form clusters
  - More fine-grained user clusters are hidden within big clusters

Automatically capture hierarchical structure of behavior clusters
Identify user clusters that share similar behaviors

1. Map user’s clickstreams to a similarity graph
   - Clickstreams are nodes
   - Edge weighted by the similarity of clickstreams

**Similarity: common subsequence (count)**

- $S_1 = \text{AAB}$
- $S_2 = \text{BBC}$
- $ngram_1 = \{A(2), B(1), AA(1), AB(1), AAB(1)\}$
- $ngram_2 = \{B(2), C(1), BB(1), BC(1), BBC(1)\}$

**Cosine Distance**

- $V_1 = (2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)$
- $V_2 = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1)$
Hierarchical Clustering
with “Iterative Feature Pruning”

• Partition a clickstream similarity graph
  – Identify fine-grained clusters within big clusters
  – Select features to interpret each cluster

1. Start from a full similarity graph
2. Partition the graph into \( k \) clusters
3. Select distinguishing features for each new cluster
4. Prune top features, re-compute similarity graph, detect sub-clusters
5. Iteratively repeat 2-4 for new graphs, terminate if no clear cluster structures

- No pre-defined features / constraints
- Consider all sub-sequences in clickstream (ngram)
- Feature selection based on Chi-square statistics

Based on clustering quality convergence (modularity)
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Application #1: Behavior Analysis
Based on 100K Whisper users, 142M clicks

Hierarchical Clusters
- High-level behavior categories
- Secondary detailed behaviors
  - Second largest cluster
  - Users who don’t actively use the app

Selected features in this cluster (subsequences in clickstreams)

User Study
- Do these clusters contain semantic meanings?
- User study to label clusters (15 users)
  - Users can easily extract semantic labels (95.5%)
  - A high consistency among user generated labels
Tracking Behavior Changes

• Users within the inactive cluster
  – Dormant: zero active actions
  – Semi-dormant: only login occasionally

• Hypothesis: users in inactive cluster will migrate to “dormant” cluster over time

• Analyzing user migration
  – Split clickstream data into three snapshots, 2-week each
  – Compare user behavior clusters across snapshots
Predicting User Dormancy

- Users turning dormant within adjacent snapshots
  - Dormant users are likely to remain dormant (94%)
  - Semi-dormant users are more likely to turn dormant (17% vs. 1%)

- Predict user dormancy by monitoring the inactive cluster
- Implement necessary interventions to retain users

Ongoing: identify “paths” of behavior changes
“What makes a user turn into a bully/troll?”
Application #2: Sybil Detection

- Detecting fake accounts in social networks [USENIX SEC’13]
  - Real users and fake users behave differently → different clusters

- Ground-truth evaluation
  - Clickstream data from Renren (10K Sybil + 6K normal)
  - Highly accurate: 0.7% false positive rate, 4% false negative rate

- Shipped our prototype code to LinkedIn
  - LinkedIn: detected 200 new Sybils in a set of 36K “good” users
  - Renren: detected new type of spam attack (image spammers)

"Image" Spammers in Renren
- Embed spam content in images
- Easy to evade text/URL based detectors
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1. Emerging Threat of Sybil Devices

2. Clickstream based User Behavior Model

Conclusion
- Highlights of My Work
- Ongoing and Future Projects
Research Summary

Security

Malware Distribution [DSN’13]
Spam, Crowdturfing [WWW’12] [NDSS’13] [Usenix Security’13] [IMC’13] [Usenix Security’14]

Mobile Networks [MobiCom’11] [HotNets’13] [SIGMETRICS’13] [MobiSys’16]

Social & Crowdsourcing [WWW’13] [HotMobile’13] [IMC’14] [ICWSM’16] [IMC’16]

User Behavior Modeling [CHI’16]

Crowds in Fin. Markets [CSCW’15]

Data Analytics & Measurement

User Behavior

HCI
Impact of Research

• **Academic Impact**
  – Broad publications in Security, Measurement, Mobile, HCI
  – Frequent media coverage

• **Industry Impact**
  – Deployed: malware/Sybil detection, location anonymity scheme
  – Actively protecting millions of users in production systems
Short Term: Sybil Devices Defense

- How to defend against Sybil devices?
- Apps: protecting APIs against reverse-engineering
  - Waze: special encoding on data fields of API calls
  - Yik Yak: use HMAC for message integrity
  - Periscope: SSL Pinning
- Lack of empirical understanding at a large scale
  - What apps are vulnerable to API reverse-engineering?
  - What security approaches are used to protect APIs?
  - How effective are these security approaches?

Top 100,000 Apps

Simulator + Traffic analysis

- Security approaches used
- Is APIs visible?
- Can APIs be simulated?
Short Term: $ in Mobile Systems

• Mobile digital wallet
  – Wide adoption
  – Many integrate with social features
  – How do users use the system? Are there malicious activities there?

Venmo Data: 90 million public transactions from 7 million users
• Infer who you are based on how you make transactions
  (Gambling bookies, merchants, drug dealers)

• Mobile payment based social Q&A (FenDa)
  – Ask experts questions directly on your phone
    o Pay $50 to ask a doctor a question
    o Get paid $1 from anyone who listens to the answer
  – Is money a good incentive to obtain/archive knowledge?

FenDa Data: 65K users/experts/celebrities and their answers
Future Directions: Long Term

• Explosive growth of Internet devices
  – Smartphones, wearable/medical devices, smart vehicles, smart city

Future trends
• Massive data from both cyber and physical world
• Opens up new attacking surface

User-centric security
• Identify real security threats by understanding user behaviors
• Statistical user behavior analysis that can scale
Thank You!

http://people.cs.vt.edu/~gangwang

gangwang@vt.edu