Biclustering Algorithms for Gene Expression Analysis

T. M. Murali

August 19, 2008

Problems with Hierarchical Clustering

- It is a global clustering algorithm.
- Considers all genes to be equally important for all samples.

Problems with Hierarchical Clustering

- It is a global clustering algorithm.
- Considers all genes to be equally important for all samples.
- What if only a subset of the genes are co-expressed across only a subset of the samples?
- What if different subsets of the genes are co-expressed for different subsets of samples?

Example: Roberts et al. (Science 2000)



Example: Alizadeh et al. (Nature 2000)



Example: Alizadeh et al. (Nature 2000)



Biclustering

► A *bicluster* is a subset of genes and a subset of samples with the property that the selected genes are co-expressed only in the selected samples.

Biclustering

- ► A *bicluster* is a subset of genes and a subset of samples with the property that the selected genes are co-expressed only in the selected samples.
- By selecting samples and genes, a bicluster represents condition-specific patterns of expression.
- Issues in biclustering:

Biclustering

- ► A *bicluster* is a subset of genes and a subset of samples with the property that the selected genes are co-expressed only in the selected samples.
- By selecting samples and genes, a bicluster represents condition-specific patterns of expression.
- Issues in biclustering:
 - How do we measure the degree of co-expression of a subset of genes in a subset of samples?
 - How many biclusters should we compute?
 - How do we compare two different sets of biclusters?

History of Biclustering

- Block clustering: Hartigan 1972, recursively partition matrix into blocks.
- Biclustering formulated in the context of gene expression data by Cheng and Church, ISMB 2000.
- Since 2000, a number of papers have been published on biclustering.
 - Finding statistically-significant biclustering: Sharon, Tanay, and Shamir, ISMB 2002.
 - Iterative signature algorithm: Bergmann, Ihmels, and Barkai, Phys Review E 2003
 - Two surveys of biclustering:
 - Madeira and Oliveira, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 2004.
 - Tanay, Sharan, and Shamir, Handbook of Computational Molecular Biology, 2006.

Biclustering: Cheng and Church

- Defined the score of a bicluster to be its mean squared residue.
- Developed an iterative algorithm for computing biclusters with residue less than δ (specified by the user) by addition and deletion of genes and samples.
- To find multiple biclusters, they "erase" the values in the previously-computed biclusters and continue.

Mean Squared Residue

- ► A = matrix of gene expression values, a_{ij} = value in the *i*th row and *j*th column of A.
- I = subset of genes/rows, J = subset of conditions/columns.
- A_{IJ} = submatrix of A containing the rows in I and the columns in J.
- The mean squared residue of A_{IJ} is

$$H_{IJ} = rac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} (a_{ij} - a_{iJ} - a_{lj} - a_{IJ})^2$$
, where

► a_{iJ} = average of values in A_{IJ} along row i, a_{Ij} = average of values in A_{IJ} along column j and a_{IJ} = average of all values in A_{IJ}.

$$H_{IJ} = rac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} (a_{ij} - a_{iJ} - a_{lj} - a_{IJ})^2$$

Constant matrix:

$$H_{IJ} = rac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} (a_{ij} - a_{iJ} - a_{lj} - a_{IJ})^2$$

Constant matrix: 0.

$$H_{IJ} = rac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} (a_{ij} - a_{iJ} - a_{lj} - a_{IJ})^2$$

- Constant matrix: 0.
- Single element:

$$H_{IJ} = rac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} (a_{ij} - a_{iJ} - a_{lj} - a_{IJ})^2$$

- Constant matrix: 0.
- ► Single element: 0.

$$H_{IJ} = rac{1}{|I||J|} \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} (a_{ij} - a_{iJ} - a_{lj} - a_{IJ})^2$$

- Constant matrix: 0.
- Single element: 0.
- ► Matrix with elements chosen randomly from the interval [a, b] has expected mean squared residue (b a)²/12.

Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ.

- Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ.
- Why find the largest submatrix?

- Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ .
- Why find the largest submatrix?
- How do we measure size of a submatrix?

- Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ.
- Why find the largest submatrix?
- How do we measure size of a submatrix?
 - Perimeter: maximise |I| + |J|.
 - Square: maximise |I| = |J|.
 - ► Area: maximise |*I*||*J*|.

- Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ .
- Why find the largest submatrix?
- How do we measure size of a submatrix?
 - Perimeter: maximise |I| + |J|.
 - Square: maximise |I| = |J|.
 - ► Area: maximise |*I*||*J*|.
- How hard is the problem?

- Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ .
- Why find the largest submatrix?
- How do we measure size of a submatrix?
 - Perimeter: maximise |I| + |J|.
 - Square: maximise |I| = |J|.
 - ► Area: maximise |*I*||*J*|.
- How hard is the problem?
 - ▶ Perimeter: maximise |I| + |J|, can be solved in polynomial time but is inappropriate when #rows >> #columns.

- Given a matrix A and a parameter δ find the largest submatrix of A with mean squared residue less than δ .
- Why find the largest submatrix?
- How do we measure size of a submatrix?
 - Perimeter: maximise |I| + |J|.
 - Square: maximise |I| = |J|.
 - ► Area: maximise |*I*||*J*|.
- How hard is the problem?
 - ▶ Perimeter: maximise |I| + |J|, can be solved in polynomial time but is inappropriate when #rows >> #columns.
 - Square: maximise |I| = |J|, NP-Hard .
 - ► Area: maximise |*I*||*J*|, also NP-Hard (proven after the Cheng and Church paper).

Algorithms

- Since the problems are computationally intractable, use heuristics to find biclusters of "large" size.
- Basic idea: add/delete a row/column until mean squared residue does not decrease.
 - ► Delete a row/column if its deletion improves mean squared residue.
 - Add a row/column if its addition improves mean squared residue.
 - Add some tricks to allow deletion/addition of multiple rows/columns so that it is not necessary to recompute mean squared residue after each change.

• Graph model: each gene is a node, each sample is a node.

.

.

- ► Graph model: each gene is a node, each sample is a node.
- Bipartite graph: connect a gene to a sample if the gene responds in that sample, i.e., if the expression value is not between -1 and 1 after standardisation.



- Graph model: each gene is a node, each sample is a node.
- Bipartite graph: connect a gene to a sample if the gene responds in that sample, i.e., if the expression value is not between -1 and 1 after standardisation.



- Graph model: each gene is a node, each sample is a node.
- Bipartite graph: connect a gene to a sample if the gene *responds* in that sample, i.e., if the expression value is not between -1 and 1 after standardisation.
- ► Bicluster ≡ clique; weight of a bicluster is the sum of the weights of its edges.



Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.

- Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.
- ▶ Problem is *NP*-complete.

- Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.
- ▶ Problem is *NP*-complete.
- ► Assume each gene responds only in *k* samples.

- Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.
- ▶ Problem is *NP*-complete.
- ► Assume each gene responds only in *k* samples.
- Any clique has at most k samples in it.

- Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.
- Problem is *NP*-complete.
- ► Assume each gene responds only in *k* samples.
- Any clique has at most k samples in it.
- ► Algorithm:
 - 1. For every gene, consider all subsets of neighbouring samples.
 - 2. For each subset, find all the genes connected to all the samples in the subset.
 - 3. Keep track of the clique of largest weight.

- Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.
- ▶ Problem is *NP*-complete.
- ► Assume each gene responds only in *k* samples.
- Any clique has at most k samples in it.
- ► Algorithm:
 - 1. For every gene, consider all subsets of neighbouring samples.
 - 2. For each subset, find all the genes connected to all the samples in the subset.
 - 3. Keep track of the clique of largest weight.
- Running time is O(n2^k), since each gene has at most O(2^k) subsets of neighbouring samples. How do we obtain this running time? Exercise.

- Compute cliques with many edges or large weight.
- Problem is *NP*-complete.
- ► Assume each gene responds only in *k* samples.
- Any clique has at most k samples in it.
- ► Algorithm:
 - 1. For every gene, consider all subsets of neighbouring samples.
 - 2. For each subset, find all the genes connected to all the samples in the subset.
 - 3. Keep track of the clique of largest weight.
- Running time is O(n2^k), since each gene has at most O(2^k) subsets of neighbouring samples. How do we obtain this running time? Exercise.
- Add some heuristics: iteratively add/delete node that improves the weight the most until no modification is possible.

Assessing Edge Weights

- Goal: assess statistical significance of a bicluster in "random" data; assign edge weights so that weight of a bicluster is equal to its statistical significance.
- U = set of genes, V = set of conditions, E is the set of edges.
- ► Simple model: assume each edge occurs with probability p = |E|/(|U||V|).
- ► Given a bicluster H = (U', V', E'), the probability p(H) of observing a bicluster at least as dense as H is the probability that if we select each of the |U'||V'| possible edges with probability p, we will select E' or more edges:

Assessing Edge Weights

- Goal: assess statistical significance of a bicluster in "random" data; assign edge weights so that weight of a bicluster is equal to its statistical significance.
- U = set of genes, V = set of conditions, E is the set of edges.
- ► Simple model: assume each edge occurs with probability p = |E|/(|U||V|).
- ► Given a bicluster H = (U', V', E'), the probability p(H) of observing a bicluster at least as dense as H is the probability that if we select each of the |U'||V'| possible edges with probability p, we will select E' or more edges:

$$\sum_{|E'| \le i \le |U'||V'|} {|U'||V'| \choose i} p^i (1-p)^{|U'||V'|-i}.$$

Assessing Edge Weights Continued

- ▶ $p(H) = \sum_{|E'| \le i \le |U'| |V'|} {\binom{|U'||V'|}{i}} p^i (1-p)^{|U'||V'|-i}.$
- ► If $p \le 1/2$, $p(H) \le 2^{|U'||V'|} p^{|E'|} (1-p)^{|U'||V'|-|E'|}$.
- ► To minimise p(H), maximise $-\log p(H) = -|U'||V'| - |E'|\log p - (|U'||V'| - |E'|)\log(1-p).$
- ► Assign each edge in the graph a positive weight -1 log p and each edge not in the graph a negative weight -1 log(1 p).