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It is common for temporaries to occur as mini-data structures (i.e., groups of connected objects), built up with much effort only to be thrown away shortly thereafter.

Understanding the contributing factors to excessive use of temporaries is critical to being able ultimately to fix these performance problems.

This paper presents an optimized blended escape analysis to approximate object lifetimes and thus, to identify these temporaries and their uses.
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Main Contributions

An optimized blended escape analysis algorithm
Prune away unexecuted basic blocks in methods, achieving increased precision and scalability

New metrics
for blended static and dynamic analyses that quantify key properties related to the use of temporary objects

Empirical findings
Characterize the nature and usage of temporary objects in representative, framework-intensive Java applications.
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- A technique for approximating the effective **lifetime of objects**, i.e., computing if and how newly created objects become visible beyond the method in which they were created.
- It has been used traditionally for compiler optimizations requiring either information about an object (i) **escaping a method invocation** or (ii) **escaping an allocating thread**.
- Three defined escape states for each object: **globally escaping**, **non-escaping** or escaping through parameters and/or return values (**arg-escaping**).
public X identity(X p1) {
    return p1;
}

public X escape(X p2) {
    G.global = p2;
    return p2;
}

public void f() {
    X inst;
    if (cond)
        inst = identity(new Y());
    else
        inst = escape(new Z());
}

Example program
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Escape Analysis – An Example

Example program

```java
public X identity(X p1) {
    return p1;
}

public X escape(X p2) {
    G.global = p2;
    return p2;
}

public void f() {
    X inst;
    if (cond)
        inst = identity(new Y());
    else
        inst = escape(new Z());
}
```

- Objects that are reachable through parameters or that are returned to caller methods are labeled *arg escaping*.
- An object is marked *globally escaping* when it becomes globally reachable (e.g., assigned to a static field).
- Objects that don’t escape are marked as *captured*. 
A context-sensitive, flow-sensitive escape analysis algorithm.

Escape analysis proceeds in a bottom-up manner on the call graph.

A **connection graph** is generated at each call graph node to represent a summary of the relevant data structures at that node and the (current) escape state of abstract objects.

---

Escape Analysis Algorithm – An Example

Example program

```java
public X identity(X p1) {
    return p1;
}

public X escape(X p2) {
    G.global = p2;
    return p2;
}

public void f() {
    X inst;
    if (cond)
        inst = identity(new Y());
    else
        inst = escape(new Z());
}
```

Phantom object node represents all objects that could be passed to it.

Summary connection graphs for methods in the example program:

- a) Connection graph for `identity`
- b) Connection graph for `escape`
- c) Connection graph for `f`
Dynamic analysis is used to obtain the calling structure of a particular execution of interest and then a static analysis is performed on that calling structure to obtain more detailed semantic information relevant for performance understanding.
Blended Analysis Paradigm

- **Dynamic analysis** is used to obtain the calling structure of a *particular execution of interest* and then a *static analysis* is performed on that calling structure to obtain more detailed semantic information relevant for performance understanding.

- The *hypothesis* is that blended analysis will enable a more precise and scalable analysis for performance understanding at an acceptable cost, in comparison to a purely static analysis (i.e., too imprecise) or a purely dynamic analysis (i.e., too costly because sampling will not provide sufficient precision).
Used IBM’s Jinsight tool to generate a **dynamic call graph** used as input to the blended escape analysis.

The precision of the information in the connection graphs can be improved by retaining richer calling context information — dynamic calling context tree (CCT).

The postprocessing algorithm generates a **reduced connection graph** for each context in the CCT, which provides a good level of abstraction for understanding and manual exploration of temporary structures.

---


Taking advantage of knowledge of declared types, type-inconsistent edges are never added to the connection graph, which increases the precision and reduces the execution time cost.
Optimized Blended Analysis

Declared Types
Taking advantage of knowledge of declared types, type-inconsistent edges are never added to the connection graph, which increases the precision and reduces the execution time cost.

Basic Block Pruning
Prune a basic block from the control flow graph of a method if it can be shown that the block was never executed. Unexecuted basic blocks are identified using two kinds of dynamic information for each method, observed calls and allocated types of instances.
Experimental Setup

- Escape analysis was built using the WALA analysis framework.
- Used IBM’s Jinsight tool to generate a dynamic call graph. The Jinsight profiler is routinely used within IBM for performance diagnosis.
- Used two well-known framework-intensive applications: *Trade* and *Eclipse*
- Four benchmarks (three configurations of Trade):
  - Trade Direct/Std
  - Trade Direct/WS
  - Trade EJB/Std
  - Eclipse JDT
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- Measure the impact of the pruning technique on the scalability of the analysis

- Metric 1: Pruned basic blocks
- Metric 2: Execution time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Pruned BBs</th>
<th>Running time (h:m:s)</th>
<th>Speed-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>Pruned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Direct/Std</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>0:00:22</td>
<td>0:00:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Direct/WS</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>3:01:52</td>
<td>0:19:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade EJB/Std</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>6:49:54</td>
<td>0:13:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eclipse JDT</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>43:13:20</td>
<td>2:01:39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pruning effects
Experimental Results

Disposition

- In escape analysis, every object receives a disposition, i.e., final escape state.
- Dynamic imprecision sometimes introduces ambiguity regarding the path in the dynamic CCT traversed by an instance. A state henceforth is marked as mixed (both escaping and captured).

- Metric 3: Disposition breakdown
- Metric 4: Disposition improvement – percentage of objects whose disposition is improved by the pruning algorithm
Experimental Results

Disposition breakdown

Disposition improvement
Experimental Results

Capturing Depth

- A measure of the nature of the individual regions in the program calling structure that use temporaries
- The length of the shortest acyclic path from its allocating context to its capturing context
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- A measure of the nature of the individual regions in the program calling structure that use temporaries
- The length of the shortest acyclic path from its allocating context to its capturing context

Capturing depth histogram
Experimental Results

**Concentration**

- Understand whether object churn behavior is typically concentrated in a few regions, or is spread out across many regions.
- The percentage of captured instances that are explained by X% of the top capturing methods.
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Concentration
Experimental Results

Complexity of Data Structures

A data structure in the reduced connection graph of a calling context in the CCT consists of a root (i.e., an object with no incoming edges) and those nodes reachable from it that have the same escape state.

- Metric 7: # of types – number of distinct object types in each data structure
- Metric 8: # of allocating methods – number of distinct methods that allocate instances that are part of this data structure
- Metric 9: Height of data structure – length of the longest acyclic path in the reduced connection graph from a given data structure root to any other object in the data structure
- Metric 10: Maximum capturing distance – the longest capturing call chain corresponding to an instance contained in the data structure
Experimental Results

Complexity of data structures (by occurrences)
Conclusions

An optimized blended escape analysis algorithm, achieving increased precision and scalability
- Prune away type-inconsistent edges in the connection graph
- Prune away unexecuted basic blocks in methods in the connection graph

10 Metrics
that explain characteristics of the usage of temporary data structures in framework-intensive applications.

Empirical Findings
Characterize the nature and usage of temporary objects in four framework-intensive benchmarks.
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