# CS6504

# Mobile Computing

### Dr. Ayman Abdel-Hamid

**Computer Science Department** 

#### Virginia Tech

### **Mobile IPv4 Micro-mobility**

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

© Dr. Ayman Abdel-Hamid, CS6504 Spring 2007 1

# Outline

•MIPv4 Micro-mobility solutions

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

# Local-area Mobility Solutions

•Within the Mobile IP framework

Regional Registration Framework (MIP\_RR)

► Local and Indirect Registration

•Host-based forwarding schemes

Cellular IP (Columbia University)

≻HAWAII (Bell Labs)

•Multicast-based schemes

Assign MH a scoped multicast address within the foreign domain

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

### **Regional Registration Framework (MIP\_RR)** 1/3



{1, 2, 3, and 4}: Home registration when the MH first enters the foreign domain.

 $\{5, 6\}$ : Regional registration with a local handoff from FA<sub>7</sub> to FA<sub>6</sub>.

 $\{7, 8, 9, \text{ and } 10\}$ : Home registration involving a local handoff from FA<sub>6</sub> to FA<sub>5</sub>.

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

## **Regional Registration Framework (MIP\_RR)** 2/3

•The old FA relays the BU message, received from the new FA, upwards in the hierarchy (to its father FA) specifying itself as the care-of address of the MH.

•The father FA performs the following steps

≻delete its MH's visitor entry,

 $\succ$  create a binding cache entry for the MH with care-of address the child FA that sent the BU message,

≻relay the BU message upwards in the hierarchy, and

≻send back a binding acknowledge message to its child FA

## **Regional Registration Framework (MIP\_RR)** 3/3



## **Local and Indirect Registration**



### Cellular IP 1/2



### Cellular IP 2/2



Spring 2007

### HAWAII 1/2

•Handoff-Aware Wireless Access Internet Infrastructure

•Uses specialized path setup schemes which install host-based forwarding entries in **specific routers** to handle intra-domain micro-mobility

•defaults to using mobile IP for inter-domain macro-mobility

•requires that MH obtains a **co-located care of address** within a domain, nevertheless MH is required to register with a BS within the domain to be able to better handle handoffs

•MH sends **path setup update** messages during power up and after handoffs



**HR**: Home Domain Root Router

**FR**: Foreign Domain Root Router

domain model within HAWAII



### HAWAII 2/2



# Multicast and Mobility 1/3

### •The Deadalus Approach (Berkeley, 1995)

-maintains the HA concept of Mobile IP

-MH pre-assigned a multicast address by HA

-HA encapsulates any packets destined to MH and forwards them over the pre-assigned multicast group

-MH informs nearby Base Stations about multicast group and controls forwarding/buffering of packets at BSs through a control protocol

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

# Multicast and Mobility 2/3

### •A Multicasting-based Mobility Solution (1997)

-multicast sole mechanism to provide addressing and routing services to MHs

-each MH is assigned a unique multicast IP address (globally unique)

-approach affects a number of existing protocols such as TCP, ICMP, ARP, IGMP

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

# Multicast and Mobility 3/3

### •Fast Handoffs for Wireless Networks (1999)

- -foreign domain arranged as a two level hierarchy with a domain FA at the root and base stations as leafs.
- –MH assigned a multicast address within the foreign domain by the domain FA (centralized server)
- -domain FA becomes forwarding agent for all MHs (single point of failure, bottleneck)
- -does not discuss details of multicast address allocation or effects on multicast routing

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

# Outline

•A cooperating FA hierarchies local-area mobility support framework

# **Cooperating Foreign Agents Hierarchies** 1/2

A local-area mobility support framework

- Efficiently handle local-area movement scenarios within a foreign domain through cooperation between FA hierarchies
- Provide authentication and replay protection for all protocol messages
- Not specific to any access technology
- Explore the hierarchy structure to enhance registration processing

# **Cooperating Foreign Agents Hierarchies 2/2**



### **FA Hierarchy Model**



#### •Advertise the FA IP address (if not private) for legacy MHs

#### •Hide the hierarchy structure

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

### **Critique of MIP\_RR's tunneling consistency**

•Requires smooth handoff mechanism

•Potential race condition if BU from old path reaches crossover FA before the registration request from new path



#### **Regional Registrations Framework** 1/2



### **Regional Registrations Framework** 2/2

#### **Replay Protection**

•Crossover FA propagates upwards in the hierarchy towards the GFA a *replay protection update message* to ensure future successful processing of registrations by upper RFAs in the path

•This message propagates the new identification value assigned to the MH by the crossover FA

•Used for nonce replay protection and timestamp replay protection

Туре	Reserved
MH Home Address	
New MH Identification	
Identification	
Extensions	

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

### **Home Registrations Framework**

#### Home Registrations involving local handoffs

- •A home registration is forwarded to the HA to renew the MH's mobility binding
- •How about the old path?

> A deregistration mechanism similar to the regional registration framework would clear the old path, but increases packet loss while waiting for the reply from the HA

 $\succ$  The need to clear the visitor entries on the old path

#### Our solutions

- ➤KOPA approach (Keep Old Path Alive)
- SINP approach (Switch Immediately to New Path)  $\rightarrow$  SINP approach (Switch Immediately to New Path)

### **Intra-Hierarchy Handoffs: The KOPA Approach** 1/3



MIPv4 Micro-mobility

### **Intra-Hierarchy Handoffs: The KOPA Approach 2/3**

What lifetime is used for the BU?

BU lifetime = Max {home reg. latency,  $\alpha$  \* remaining reg. lifetime} Where  $0 < \alpha <= 1$  (we use  $\alpha = 0.5$ )

Maintain observed home registration latency at each RFA

How the new FA information is propagated without the smooth handoff mechanism?

•Benefit from the existence of a hierarchy, an old and new path

•Propagate new FA information along new path to crossover FA, then along old path to old FA through a *local care-of address extension* 

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

### **Intra-Hierarchy Handoffs: The KOPA Approach 3/3**

#### Authentication and replay protection

•A home registration request would only include home authentication and identification information

•How can the crossover FA authenticate the request to initiate KOPA?

➤MH includes a *local replay protection extension*, such that the crossover FA is capable of ensuring the freshness of its request

MH authenticates its request using a MH-GFA authentication extension

Crossover FA authenticates the request before initiating the tunneling consistency mechanism on the new path

## **Intra-Hierarchy Handoffs: The SINP Approach**



•The local handoff completion does not have to wait for a reply from the HA

## **Performance Evaluation** 1/4



## **Performance Evaluation** 2/4

**UDP Traffic** 



#### Using the KOPA approach

### **Performance Evaluation 3/4**

#### **UDP Traffic**



### **Performance Evaluation** 4/4

#### **TCP Traffic**



# **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs** 1/8

- One FA hierarchy in foreign domain is a burden on the GFA. (single point of failure, maintain routing entries for all MHs)
- If multiple FA hierarchies are deployed, no configurable scalable cooperation is envisioned between hierarchies
- Reduce the number of required security associations between FAs in different hierarchies
- Shield the HA from the MH's movement within the foreign domain

## **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs 2/8**



•Partition foreign domain into routing zones

•Each routing zone is an independent FA hierarchy

•FAs advertise their own IP address and the GFA address

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

# **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs 3/8**

### **Configurable Cooperation**

- Cooperation is only allowed between the roots of the FA hierarchies (2 security associations between each pair of GFAs)
- The FAs advertise two new options in their mobility agent advertisements
  - will this GFA accept cooperation requests from other GFAs?
  - will this GFA send cooperation requests on behalf of the MH?

# **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs** 4/8



MIPv4 Micro-mobility

## **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs 5/8**



#### **Registration State Diagram**

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

# **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs** 6/8

Home-regional Registration

- A home registration with a regional data extension
- The current GFA attempts to contact the HRGFA using the information in the regional extension
- If success, the current GFA receives tunneled packets for the MH from the HRGFA
- If the HRGFA does not respond, use the MH's home credentials to perform a home registration on behalf of the MH

## **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs** 7/8



If the HRGFA accepted the regional registration request, the GFA<sub>j</sub> hierarchy is now able to authenticate any future registration requests by the MH.

#### The home-regional registration process, in case the HRGFA is reachable.

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

## **Inter-Hierarchy Handoffs 8/8**



Upon successful registration, the MH's HRGFA is changed within the foreign domain.

#### The home-regional registration process, in case the HRGFA is not reachable.

MIPv4 Micro-mobility

## **Performance Evaluation** 1/3



MIPv4 Micro-mobility

### **Performance Evaluation 2/3**

#### **UDP Traffic**



### **Performance Evaluation 3/3**

#### **TCP Traffic**

