

Computational Thinking

Computational Thinking for Computer Science (CT4CS) Students





Background

- An undergraduate course at Virginia Tech
- Offered twice as an alternative to a required problem-solving class
- Experience report at SIGCSE, 2011 in paper co-authored with Deborah Tatar





Summary

Motivation

- Aspirational: help computer science students develop intuitions, mental models, and patterns of thinking about computation ("think like a computer scientist")
- Pragmatic: engage students in learning experiences related to recurring, fundamental concepts about computation

Means

- A non-programming entry level CS course
- An array of editing/visualization/simulation tools and physical simulations

Results

Virginia

- Survey of first offering (N=17) and experiential evidence
- Sufficiently encouraging to pursue a (current) second offering
- Able to deal with many core computing concepts
- No good approach (yet) to algorithmic concepts
- URL: www.cs.vt.edu/~kafura/ComputationalThinking



lech

Overview

- Motivation
- Class Outline
- An example
- Evaluation
- Conclusions



Motivation

Computational Thinking

- conveys essential thought processes about computation
- usually to non-CS students
- informs discipline-specific ways of looking at the world
- elevates computational sophistication
- improves collaboration with computer scientists

Computer Science

- □ it is "not just programming"
- accessible regardless of background
- approaches
 - contextualized programming
 - problem-solving
 - great ideas/principles
 - survey of discipline

Computational Thinking for Computer Science

- conveys essential thought processes about computation
- to computer science students
- without requiring or using programming
- in concrete, tangible forms



Class outline

Wks	Торіс		Concepts/Tools
.5	Modeling	Definition of CS	Guided discussion
2		State, behavior	Finite state machines, acceptors, grammars; Tools: JFLAP, ANTLR
2		Abstraction	Abstraction, generalization, composition using Venn/tree/UML diagrams, XML; Tools: XMLSpear, physical simulation
1.5		Relationships	Representing, inferring, visualizing relationships, ontologies; Tool: Protege
1.5	Engineering	Concurrency	Race conditions, synchronization, Petri nets; Tool: Snoopy, physical simulation
1		Abstraction	Layered systems/protocols; Tool: Snoopy
2		Binding, scope	Lambda calculus; Tool: Lambda Teacher
1		Testing	Developing test cases, coverage; Tools: applet, WebCAT
1		Debugging	Puzzle solving with backtracking; Tool: Sodoku system
1		Data structures	Mapping complex structures to memory; Tool: physical simulation





Example (1)

Concepts

- Finite states --- transitions --- inputs/events
- Assignment
 - Develop a finite state acceptor to recognize if a DNA sequence is a possible gene
- Tool
 - JFLAP



Tech

Gene Acceptor in JFLAP





Example (2)

Concepts

- Languages structure grammar
- Assignment
 - Develop a BNF grammar for US Currency
- Tool
 - ANTLR

Grammar in ANTLR

100111010110011...

Virginia

lèch





Example (3)

- Concepts
 - Concurrency synchronization asynchrony
 - Petri nets
- Assignment
 - Develop solutions for simple mutual exclusion and more complex traffic intersection
- Tools
 - Physical simulation
 - Snoopy (Petri net simulator)



Mutex in Snoopy





Discussion

- Finite state acceptor
 - \rightarrow junior level computational biology course
- Grammars/languages
 - \rightarrow senior level compiler course
- Concurrency
 - \rightarrow junior level systems course
- Question: What is the relationship between acceptors and grammars?
 - \rightarrow senior level formal languages course



Evaluation

- An end of term reflections/survey (N=17)
- Key observations The students reported that the course/topics...
 - deepened their knowledge and perspective on computer science.
 - offered a number of new (to them) concepts and/or improved their understanding of concepts they had already seen.
 - helped them develop a better vocabulary for explaining computer science issues.
- Place in curriculum
 - The students expressed divided opinions on the ordering of this course with respect to an introductory programming course in computer science.
 - Room for adoption flexibility



100111010110011)
$\langle $ $)$
J.G.
-73 -7°
A R R

Conclusions

- Concretely engage students in a wide variety of sophisticated computing concepts without the entanglements of programming
 - We need to *show* students that CS is more than programming
 - Tools are available
- CS is about ideas
 - Students need the opportunity to struggle with deep(er) aspects of representation and process
 - Appealing to students for deeper reasons
- ? is there a set of "right" topics
- ? possibilities for continuation (at VT) and/or adoption (elsewhere)
- ? <your question here>



