

### CS 4804 Homework 3

#### Solution Sketches

---

1. (20 points) These are trivial; the easiest way to calculate them is with a truth table: (a) true; (b) false; (c) false; and (d) false.
2. (20 points) The given entailment does hold:

1 :  $P$

$G : \neg(Q \Rightarrow P) = \neg(\neg Q \vee P) = Q \wedge \neg P$

$G1 : Q; G2 : \neg P$

resolve 1,  $G2$  to *null*

3. (20 points) Assume the following predicate terminology:

- $\text{took}(x, y, z)$ : true when student  $x$  took class  $y$  in term  $z$ .
- $\text{score}(x, y, z)$ : true when student  $x$  got a score of  $z$  in class  $y$ .
- $\text{passed}(x, y)$ : true when student  $x$  passed class  $y$ .

Then the various statements can be asserted as:

- Some students took French in Spring 2001.

$\exists x : \text{took}(x, \text{French}, \text{Spring2001})$ .

You can also be pedantic about the plurality inherent in ‘some students’ and assert that there must be two different people  $x$  and  $y$ , satisfying the above predicate.

- Every student who took French passes it.

$\forall xy : \text{took}(x, \text{French}, y) \Rightarrow \text{passed}(x, \text{French})$

Obviously there is some relationship between score and passed, which we do not state explicitly (as it is not given).

- Only one student took Greek in Spring 2001.

$\forall xy : (\text{took}(x, \text{Greek}, \text{Spring2001}) \wedge \text{took}(y, \text{Greek}, \text{Spring2001})) \Rightarrow (x = y)$

- The best score in Greek is always higher than the best score in French.

$\exists xm : \text{score}(m, \text{Greek}, x) \wedge (\forall yz : \text{score}(y, \text{Greek}, z) \Rightarrow (x \geq z))$

$\wedge (\forall ab : \text{score}(a, \text{French}, b) \Rightarrow (x > b))$

Here we are assuming that this statement is true even across terms.

4. (20 points) Assume the following predicate terminology:

$\text{Policy}(x)$  :-  $x$  is an insurance policy

$\text{Person}(x)$  :-  $x$  is a person

$\text{Expensive}(x)$  :-  $x$  is expensive

$\text{Smart}(x)$  :-  $x$  is smart

$\text{Buys}(x, y)$  :-  $x$  buys  $y$

$\text{Sells}(x, y, z)$  :-  $x$  sells  $y$  to  $z$

Insured(x) :- x is insured

e)  $\forall xy : \text{Buys}(x, y) \wedge \text{Person}(x) \wedge \text{Policy}(y) \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(x)$

f)  $\forall xy : \text{Buys}(x, y) \wedge \text{Person}(x) \wedge \text{Policy}(y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Expensive}(y)$

g)  $\exists x \forall yz : \text{Sells}(x, y, z) \wedge \text{Person}(z) \wedge \text{Policy}(y) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Insured}(z)$

5. **(20 points)**

1 :  $\forall x : \text{horse}(x) \Rightarrow \text{animal}(x)$

In clausal form, this becomes

$\neg \text{horse}(x) \vee \text{animal}(x)$

$G : \forall xy : (\text{horse}(x) \wedge \text{headof}(x, y)) \Rightarrow (\exists z : \text{animal}(z) \wedge \text{headof}(z, y))$

In clausal form and negated, this gives:

$G1 : \text{horse}(H); G2 : \text{headof}(H, E); G3 : \neg \text{animal}(z) \vee \neg \text{headof}(z, E)$

resolve 1, G1 to  $\text{animal}(H)$

resolve  $\text{animal}(H)$ , G3 to  $\neg \text{headof}(H, E)$

resolve  $\neg \text{headof}(H, E)$ , G2 to *null*