Capturing Truthiness: Mining Truth Tables in Binary Datasets

Clifford Conley Owens III, T. M. Murali, Naren Ramakrishnan Department of Computer Science Virginia Tech, VA 24061, USA ccowens@vt.edu, murali@cs.vt.edu, naren@cs.vt.edu

ABSTRACT

We introduce a new data mining problem: mining truth tables in binary datasets. Given a matrix of objects and the properties they satisfy, a truth table identifies a subset of properties that exhibit maximal variability (and hence, complete independence) in occurrence patterns over the underlying objects. This problem is relevant in many domains, e.g., in bioinformatics where we seek to identify and model independent components of combinatorial regulatory pathways, and in social/economic demographics where we desire to determine independent behavioral attributes of populations. We outline a family of levelwise approaches adapted to mining truth tables, algorithmic optimizations, and applications to bioinformatics and political datasets.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: H.2.8 [Database Management]: Database Applications - Data Mining; I.2.6 [Artificial Intelligence]: Learning

General Terms: Algorithms.

Keywords: truth tables, levelwise algorithms, independence models.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the dataset shown in Fig. 1(a), which outlines nine hypothetical senators and their votes (1 for yes, 0 for no) on four bills. Given binary matrices such as these, our goal in this paper is to identify a truth table embedded inside them. Our first observation is that, given nine rows, we can find truth tables having at most $\lfloor \log_2(9) \rfloor = 3$ bills. However, the reader can verify that no such truth table exists. In fact, the only truth table present is a two-column one, spanning the bills 'War' and 'Tax Cuts,' as shown in Figure 1(b). This truth table suggests that these two bills constitute independent dimensions along which politicians distinguish themselves. Observe that the senators partition into four (2²) disjoint subsets with each subset having at least two senators. We separate these subsets using dashed lines in Figure 1(b).

SAC'09, March 8–12, 2009, Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A.

Copyright 2009 ACM 978-1-60558-166-8/09/03 ...\$5.00.

This problem of finding truth tables can be considered orthogonal to mining association rules [18], correlations [7], or redescriptions [16] which capture various forms of attribute dependencies and overlaps. From the perspective of these works, truth tables constitute an 'anti-pattern,' i.e., the variables participating in it defy similarity judgements, and are hence interesting. (Later we mention how truth tables can be harnessed to find patterns of similarity as well.)

Several application domains have characteristics that are amenable to truth table mining. In bioinformatics, we are given a matrix of genes (rows) versus transcription factors (columns), where a 1 indicates that the transcription factor binds upstream of the given gene and regulates it (0 otherwise). A truth table in such a matrix indicates a set of transcription factors that can be recruited in arbitrary combinations to regulate genes. This truth table further suggests that they are likely to form independent components of regulatory pathways. Similar relationships underlie signaling pathway analysis [14] and exploration of therapies for drug discovery [8].

Alternatively, consider the domain of recommender systems where the rows denote people, the columns denote movies, and a 1/0 indicates approval/dislike (assume for now that everybody has seen and rated every movie). When a new person joins the system, a typical problem faced in recommenders is to identify a (small) set of movies that this new person should be requested to rate, in order to be connected to the underlying social network of users. By identifying a truth table in the original matrix, we can learn a set of movies that serve to maximally distinguish a user from others, and hence situate the user in a suitable neighborhood. Thus, the ratings for these movies are the most informative questions to ask a new user. This application directly maps to recommender system designs like Jester [12] which request all users to rate the same set of artifacts. Truth table mining identifies what these artifacts should be.

A truth table can be viewed as a partition of rows where each block in the partition is a 'constant-row' bicluster [19]. The most familiar type of constant-row patterns are those where all cells are 1, and such biclusters correspond to itemsets as studied in association mining. Hence algorithms for mining truth tables must explicitly and necessarily keep track of an exponentially greater number of occurrence patterns than algorithms for mining associations. At the same time, truth tables expose several structural constraints that can be harnessed to create effective algorithms. In particular, although the definition of the underlying pattern is more complicated than for itemsets, we show how the search for

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

	War	Electoral	Tax	Environmental		
		Reforms	Cuts	Reform		
Adam	1	0	0	0		
Bill	1	0	1	1		
Clinton	0	0	1	1		
Dwight	0	0	1	1		
Edwards	0	1	0	1		
Frank	0	1	0	1		
Ganguly	0	0	0	1		
Hildebrand	0	1	1	1		
Ironside	0	0	0	1		
		1 C ·		C 1 • 11		

	Electoral	War	Tax	Environmental	
	Reforms		\mathbf{Cuts}	Reforms	
Edwards	1	0	0	1	
Ganguly	0	0	0	1	
Ironside	0	0	0	1	
Clinton	0	0	1	1	
Dwight	0	0	1	1	
Ādām	0	$^{-1}$	$\overline{0}$		
Frank	0	1	0	1	
Bill	0	$^{-1}$	$\overline{1}$		
Hildebrand	0	1	1	1	

(a) The voting records of nine senators on four bills.

(b) Rearranged matrix from (a) revealing a truth table formed by voting patterns on 'War' and 'Tax cuts'.

Figure 1	:	Example	dataset	for	\mathbf{truth}	table	mining.
----------	---	---------	---------	-----	------------------	-------	---------

truth tables can be structured levelwise, thus drawing upon established notions in data mining.

"Truthiness" [22] is a term coined by television comedian Stephen Colbert to describe things that a person claims to know intuitively, instinctively, or "from the gut." The truth tables we compute have high truthiness, which we capture using two parameters: (i) we insist that each pattern of occurrences occur in as many rows as demanded by a *balance* criterion; (ii) however, we allow a small number of patterns to appear in fewer rows, controlled using the *support* parameter. More information on these parameters is provided in Section 2.

Our main contributions in this paper are the following:

- 1. We formulate truth table mining as a new data mining task, with associated algorithms and applications. We define the notions of balance and support to characterize the quality of a truth table. These notions smoothly decrease with an increase in the number of columns in a truth table.
- 2. We present experimental results on both synthetic and real-world datasets, helping demonstrate the scalability of our implementation and also shedding domainspecific insight. The synthetic studies demonstrate that our algorithms are highly scalable.
- 3. We show that truth tables constitute a new class of patterns with rich theoretical ties to a variety of previously studied data mining patterns.

Section 2 formally defines the truth table mining problem and Section 3 outlines how our definitions of balance and support lend themselves to levelwise search algorithms. Section 4 describes the levelwise algorithm in detail, along with some associated optimizations for improving efficiency. Section 5 gives experimental results on both synthetic and realworld datasets. Sections 6 and 7 provide comparisons to related work and offer a discussion, respectively.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let O denote a set of n objects, P a set of m properties, and $R \subseteq O \times P$ a relation that connects objects to properties they contain. We are interested in identifying complete independence in the occurrence of subsets of P among the objects in O. Let $Q \subseteq P$ denote a subset of properties. Given any object $o \in O$, let o_Q denote the binary vector with |Q| elements given by the values that the properties in Q have in o. Since there are $2^{|Q|}$ possible distinct values of this binary vector, Q partitions the objects in O into at most $2^{|Q|}$ equivalence classes. Let E_Q denote this partition. Each element of E_Q is a set of objects and each object appears in precisely one element of E_Q . A truth table is a pair (Q, E_Q) , where $Q \subseteq P$, E_Q is a partition of O, and $|E_Q| = 2^{|Q|}$.

Note that if no two properties in P are identical (i.e., no two properties appear in precisely the same set of objects), a truth table (Q, E_Q) is naturally closed: by definition, the truth table includes all objects and any property in P - Qwill induce a refinement of E_Q if added to Q. Henceforth, we will abuse notation and use Q to refer both to a subset of properties and the induced truth table.

Truth tables have natural notions of balance and support, which we define next. Ideally, in a truth table (Q, E_Q) , each subset of objects in E_Q will have size at least $\lfloor n/2^{|Q|} \rfloor$. To accommodate deviations from this ideal, we define the *balance* $\beta(Q)$ of (Q, E_Q) to be the quantity

$$\beta(Q) = \frac{\min_{S \in E_Q} |S|}{n}.$$

Thus, every element of E_Q contains at least $\beta(Q)n$ objects. Values of balance range between 0 and $1/2^{|Q|}$. Given a balance threshold $0 \leq b \leq 1$, we say that a truth table Q is balanced if $\beta(Q) \geq b$. As we will show in the next two sections, our definition of balance is anti-monotone, a property we exploit in our truth table mining algorithms.

We also desire to mine 'almost truth tables,' where most, but not all, of the presence/absence combinations of properties satisfy the balance constraint. Given a balance threshold b, we define the support $\sigma(Q, b)$ of a truth table (Q, E_Q) with balance at least b to be the fraction of possible object sets whose size is at least bn, i.e.,

$$\sigma(Q,b) = \frac{|\{S \in E_Q, |S| \ge bn\}|}{2^{|Q|}}$$

where $2^{|Q|}$ is the maximum possible number of object sets in E_Q . Given a support threshold $0 \le s \le 1$, we say that a balanced truth table Q is supported if $\sigma(Q, b) \ge s$.

We illustrate the notions of balance and support using the data in Figure 1. For example, the balance of the truth table formed by the bills 'War' and 'Tax Cuts' in Figure 1(b) is 2/9 and its support is 1. A two-bill truth table in this dataset (with nine senators) cannot have balance greater

than 2/9: such a truth table partitions the senators into four groups, one of which must contain at most two senators. If we were to form a truth table involving the bills on 'War,' 'Tax Cuts,' and 'Environmental Reforms', we note that of the eight expected groups of senators, only five occur in this dataset. One of these groups has size three (senators Edwards, Ganguly, and Ironside), two groups have size two (Clinton-Dwight and Bill-Hildebrand), and the other two groups have one senator each. Thus, with a balance threshold of 1/9, this truth table has a support of 5/8. If we increase the balance threshold to 2/9, then the support of the truth table drops to 3/8.

Thus, the pair of values (b, s) together characterise the "truthiness" [22] of desirable truth tables. An ideal truth table (say, one with k properties) has balance $\lfloor 1/2^k \rfloor$ and support equal to 1. However, any truth table with high balance and high support also "feels like a real truth table in the gut," a phenomenon that is the hallmark of truthiness.

Given a set O objects, a set P of properties, a relation $R \subseteq O \times P$ that connects objects to properties they contain, a balance threshold $0 \leq b \leq 1$, and a support threshold $0 \leq s \leq 1$, the *truth table mining problem* is the task of computing all truth tables Q in R with $\sigma(Q, b) \leq s$.

The notions of balance and support are closely related to, but different from, how contingency table entries are evaluated in a χ^2 test for independence. The χ^2 test uses *all* contingency table entries whereas our miner allows using only *some* of them in identifying a truth table. Furthermore, the χ^2 test compares occurrence counts to an ideal distribution, whereas truth tables impose a minimum occurrence threshold similar to *Apriori*, which enable levelwise algorithms. The former is more expressive since the ideal distribution is calculated from the product of marginals and can yield different ideal counts for different cells, whereas truth tables impose a minimum count uniformly across at least as many cells as required by the support threshold. Future work is aimed at making formal the relationship between truth table balance/support and statistical independence.

3. PROPERTIES OF TRUTH TABLES

We now introduce a series of lemmas that establish the anti-monotone properties of balance and support. We also list some properties of balanced and supported truth tables that lead to algorithmic optimizations in the computation of truth tables. First, we define some useful notation. In a truth table Q, let $U_Q \subset E_Q$ be the set of object sets with size less than bn, i.e., those that do not satisfy the balance constraint. Consider two truth tables Q' and Q such that $Q' \subset Q$ and Q contains one more property than Q'. Consider any object sets S in $E_{Q'}$. In Q, this object set partitions into two object sets, depending on whether the new property is present or not in the objects in S. Call them S_1 and S_2 . We refer to S as a parent of S_1 and S_2 . Note that S_1 and/or S_2 may be empty. Figure 2 illustrates this notion.

Our first lemma (proofs for this and subsequent results are available in the full technical report [2]), simply states that as we include more properties in a truth table, the support cannot increase.

LEMMA 3.1. If Q and Q' are two truth tables with $Q \subset Q'$, then $\sigma(Q, b) \geq \sigma(Q', b)$.

The next lemma establishes the anti-monotonicity of bal-

ance and support.

LEMMA 3.2. If a truth table Q has balance b and support s, then every truth table $Q' \subseteq Q$ such that |Q'| = |Q| - 1 has balance b and support s.



Figure 2: An example of a truth table Q' with k-1 properties and a truth table Q that contains an additional property p.

Figure 2 illustrates the ideas used in the proof. In this figure, vertical lines denote the extent of $E_{Q'}$, E_Q , $U_{Q'}$, and U_Q . Shaded rectangles denote object sets. The figure indicates that the object set

- 1. $A \in E_{Q'} U_{Q'}$ is the parent of $A_1, A_2 \in E_Q U_Q$,
- 2. $B \in E_{Q'} U_{Q'}$ is the parent of $B_1 \in E_Q U_Q$ and $B_2 \in U_Q$,
- 3. $C \in E_{Q'} U_{Q'}$ is the parent of $C_1, C_2 \in U_Q$, and
- 4. $D \in U_{Q'}$ is the parent of $D_1, D_2 \in U_Q$.

We can prove this lemma by tracking for each object set whether it is in $U_{Q'}$ or not and how many of its children are in U_Q .

LEMMA 3.3. Let Q be a truth table with k properties, balance b and support 1. If there is at least one object set in E_Q with size less than 2bn, then every truth table $Q' \supset Q$ with balance b has support strictly less than 1.

When the support is 1, the previous lemma implies a stronger form of the anti-monotone property guaranteed by Lemma 3.2.

COROLLARY 3.4. If Q is a truth table with k properties, balance b and support 1, then every sub-truth table of Q with k-1 properties has balance 2b and support 1.

We can generalize Lemma 3.3 to all values of support.

LEMMA 3.5. Let Q be a truth table with balance b and support s. Suppose that there are l_Q object sets in E_Q with size at least bn and less than 2bn and that there are v_Q object sets in E_Q with size at least 2bn. If $l_Q + v_Q < s2^{k+1}$, then every truth table $Q' \supset Q$ that has balance b has support strictly less than s.

4. MINING TRUTH TABLES

Since our balance and support constraints apply antimonotonically (see Lemma 3.2), we can harness much of the machinery and optimizations developed for level-wise algorithms such as *Apriori*. In addition, we can exploit properties specific to truth tables to further improve the efficiency of our algorithms. Due to space considerations, we present one possible algorithmic implementation here and leave more complex optimizations to the reader's considerations based on prior data mining research. For each k > 1, given all truth tables with k properties, we construct candidate truth tables with k+1 properties. We use the heuristic of generating candidate truth tables at level k by merging two balanced and supported truth tables at level k-1 such that they share k-2 properties in common [18] (we encapsulate this step in the GENERATE-CANDIDATES subroutine, which is identical to the one in the Apriori algorithm [18]). For each candidate truth table T, we check if every subtruth table of T with k properties satisfies the balance and support constraints. Finally, we perform one pass over the relation to compute the balance and support of each candidate truth table. We output only those candidates that satisfy these constraints.

A truth table Q with k properties that satisfies $\sigma(Q, b) \ge s$ must contain at least $s2^k$ non-empty row subsets in E_Q . Since a trivial bound on the size of E_Q is n, the number of objects in O, we see that no truth table can contain more than $\lceil \log(n/s) \rceil$ properties.

Algorithm 1 FINDTRUTHTABLES(O, P, R, b, s):

Input: A relation *R* relating objects in *O* to properties in *P*, a balance threshold $0 \le b \le 1$ and a support threshold $0 \le s \le 1.$ **Output:** All truth tables T such $\sigma(T, b) \ge s$. 1: $\mathcal{T} \leftarrow \{p \in P \mid \sigma(\{p\}, b) \ge s\}$ 2: while \mathcal{T} is not empty do for every truth table $T \in \mathcal{T}$ do 3: for every truth table $T' \subseteq T, |T'| = |T| - 1$ do 4: if $\sigma(T', b) < s$ then 5:6: Discard Tend if 7: end for 8: Compute $\sigma(T, b)$ 9: 10:if $\sigma(T, b) \ge s$ then 11: Output T12:Insert T into \mathcal{T} 13:end if 14:end for $\mathcal{T} \leftarrow \text{GENERATE-CANDIDATES}(\mathcal{T})$ 15:16: end while

Specific optimizations for truth tables: In each outer loop, we efficiently compute $\sigma(T, b)$ for every truth table T in the current set of candidates \mathcal{T} as follows. Suppose we are currently processing candidates with k properties. Recall that for an object $o \in O$, o_T denotes the binary vector with |T| elements given by the values of the properties in Tin o. We consider o_T to be a number in binary notation. For each truth table $T \in \mathcal{T}$, we maintain $2^k + 2$ quantities:

- (i) $c_{T,i}, 0 \le i < 2^k$ counts the number of objects $o \in O$ such that $o_T = i$,
- (ii) $l_T = |\{c_{T,i}, 0 \le i < 2^k \mid c_{T,i} \ge bn\}|$ is the number of object sets in E_T with size at least bn, and
- (iii) $v_T = |\{c_{T,i}, 0 \le i < 2^k \mid c_{T,i} \ge 2bn\}|$ is the number of object sets in E_T with size at least 2bn.

As we read the properties contained in each object o from R, we compute o_T and update the corresponding values. Assume $o_T = i$. After incrementing $c_{T,i}$, we increment l_T if $c_{T,i}$ equals bn or we increment v_T is $c_{T,i}$ equals 2bn. After we finish processing R, we can compute $\sigma(T, b)$ as l_T/n . Computing v_T allows us to exploit Lemma 3.5 to prune our search further. If $l_T + v_T < s2^{k+1}$, then we know that for any truth table T' that contains the properties in T, $\sigma(T', b) < s$. We can remove T from the list \mathcal{T} used to generate candidates for the next level.

5. APPLICATIONS

We present our results in three parts. First, we perform a comprehensive analysis of the ability of our algorithm to recover a truth table planted in a random binary matrix. Then, we discuss how our method unravels complex features of the network regulating gene expression in a cell. Finally, we mine voting patterns of U.S. senators to detect patterns of independence among them. Due to space constraints, we chose to highlight different aspects of our algorithm in these case studies: (i) synthetic data: the scalability and the effect of dataset characteristics on algorithm running time; (ii) gene expression regulation: the effect of balance and support thresholds on running time as well as truthy nuggets of discovered knowledge. (iii) senatorial voting patterns: the statistical independence of properties in a truth table and domain-specific insights.

5.1 Synthetic Data

To systematically study the ability of our algorithm to find truth tables, we planted them in random binary matrices and tested the ability of our algorithm to discover the planted truth tables. We first describe our protocol in detail. We constructed random matrices based on three parameters k, r, and p. Note that these values are parameters for the simulation and not for the truth table mining algorithm. For each such triple, we performed the following steps:

- 1. Generate a binary matrix M with k columns and 2^k rows.
- 2. Select a random integer r, where $2 \le r \le k$, and plant a truth table with r columns in M. The truth table has balance $1/2^r$ and support 1. The r columns are interspersed randomly among the columns on M.
- 3. Set every element of M not belonging to the truth table to be a 1 with probability p and a 0 with probability 1 p.
- 4. Execute the truth table finding algorithm on M with $b = 1/2^r$ and s = 1.

We executed these steps 10,000 times for the following parameters: $k \in \{5, 10, 15, 20\}$, five random values of r, and 11 values of p between 0 and 1 in increments of 0.1. Observe that the size of the database is exponential in k, so that the largest matrix we investigated (k = 20) has over a million rows. For every (k, r, p) triple, we computed the average running time of our algorithm.

Our algorithm recovered the planted truth table successfully in every case. Therefore, in this section, we focus on presenting various slices of the three-dimensional function defined by the k, r, p, and t (denoting time) values. A key feature of these results is the symmetric dependence of the running time on p. Unlike itemset and association rule mining algorithms, whose running time increases with p, the performance of our truth table mining algorithm is worst for p = 0.5 and symmetrically reduces around this value.



(a) Running time t vs. balance threshold b for fixed (b) Running time t vs. support threshold s for fixed values of the support threshold s.

Figure 3: Performance of the truth table mining algorithm on the S. cerevisiae TF dataset.

Dependence on p**.** Figure 5 displays how the running time of our algorithm depends on the probability p. Each plot in the figure corresponds to a fixed value of k. Each curve in a plot represents a fixed value of r. Due to lack of space, we only plot values for k = 5 and k = 10. As expected, these plots are symmetric around the line p = 0.5. For all values of k, the plot for r = k is a nearly horizontal line, which is to be expected since the truth table spans the entire matrix.



Figure 4: Observed running time t as we vary column width r and fix probability p.

Observe that in Figure 5(a) (where k = 5), the curve for any given value of r dominates the curves for all smaller values of r. However, the behaviour is subtly different for k = 10 (Figure 5(b)). Whereas the curves for the range r = 2 to r = 7 follow this trend, none of the curves for r = 7, 8, 9, and 10 dominate each other. In particular, focus on r = 7 and r = 8. The curve for r = 7 dominates the curve for r = 8 for values of p approximately between 0.4 and 0.6. We further examine this apparent discrepancy below.

Dependence on r. Next, we examined how the running time varied with the number of columns r in the truth table, for fixed values of p. We fixed k = 10, since this case

exemplifies higher values of k as well. Each curve in Figure 4 corresponds to a fixed value of p. We show the plots only for $p \leq 0.5$, because of symmetry. Consider Figure 4, where p = 0. The larger the value of the size of the planted truth table (r), the greater the running time of the algorithm. Now consider the other extreme p = 0.5 (Figure 4). The running time has an inflection point at r = 7.

The running time of our algorithm on these synthetic datasets is primarily composed of two factors: (a) time spent discovering the planted truth table and (b) time spent processing properties that do not belong to the planted truth table. The first component monotonically increases with r. In contrast, the second component is influenced both by k-r and by p; in particular, this component is not monotonic in r. In this case, the contribution of the second component to the running time starts decreasing dramatically for $r \geq 7$. The exact relationship between these components is worth further study.

Scalability. Finally, we examined the scalability of our algorithm as dataset size increases. Recall that as k increases linearly from 5 to 20, the number of rows in the matrix increases exponentially in k. We focus on smaller values of r (in particular two and three) so as to make the dependence of running time on dataset size more explicit. Figure 6 shows the running time for r = 2 and r = 3 and values of p = 0.1 and p = 0.5. The y-axis in this figure is on a logarithmic scale. Observe that when r = 2, p has negligible effect and that the running time mirrors the exponential growth in dataset size. Although we observe the same trends when r = 2, note that for r = 3 and p = 0.5, the algorithm runs an order of magnitude slower. This observation reinforces the breakdown of running time into two components, in particular the role played by sparsity.

5.2 Combinatorial Regulatory Networks

Gene expression in eukaryotic cells is controlled by the combinatorial interaction of transcription factors (TFs) and their binding motifs in DNA [13]. TFs often operate hierarchically: master regulators govern gene expression in multiple conditions, and act combinatorially with tissue- or



Figure 5: Observe running time t as we vary probability p and fix column width r and k (2^k rows).

condition-specific TFs to modulate gene expression. Truth tables representing TFs and the genes they regulate promise to capture the complexity of combinatorial regulation in eukaryotic cells.

To investigate this possibility, we analyzed a dataset of transcriptional regulation found in *S. cerevisiae* [21] (baker's yeast). The dataset is a binary matrix whose columns represent 112 transcription factors and whose rows represent 4603 genes in *S. cerevisiae*; the matrix contains 12804 non-zero entries. A matrix entry contains a one if a ChIP-on-chip experiment indicates that the transcription factor binds to the promoter of the gene with a p-value at most 0.001. Although ChIP-on-chip data is noisy and significant effort may be needed to clean it up, the analysis we present next demonstrates that truth tables in such datasets can provide useful biological insights.



Figure 6: Observed running time t as we vary k (2^k rows) and fix probability p and column width r.

We ran our algorithm on this dataset for balance values of 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.005, 0.001, and 0.0005 and support values of 1, 0.99, 0.95, 0.9, 0.85, 0.8, and 0.75. Figure 3(a) displays on a log-log plot how the running time of the algorithm depends on the balance threshold we use. Each curve is this plot corresponds to a fixed value of support. We see that

the logarithm of the running time is inversely proportional to the logarithm of the balance, for any given value of support. The plots also indicate that the case s = 1 requires less effort from the algorithm than values of support less than 1. Figure 3(a) displays on a log-log plot how the running time of the algorithm depends on the support threshold we use. As long as the support is less than 1, changing it does not have an adverse affect on the running time of the algorithm.

We mined truth tables by executing our algorithm on this data with b = 0.001 and s = 0.75. Our algorithm computed 6105 two-TF, 60570 three-TF, 6298 four-TF, and nine five-TF truth tables. We further examined the five-TF truth tables. One truth table includes the TFs CIN5, PHD1, RAP1, SKN7, and SWI4. The other eight truth tables involved various combinations of seven TFs: ACE2, FKH2, MBP1, NDD1, SKN7, SWI4, and SWI6. Note that the two sets share the TFs SKN7 and SWI4.

First, we discuss the truth table involving RAP1, PHD1, CIN5, SWI4, and SKN7 in detail. PHD1 and SKN7 are TFs that regulate different aspects of cell growth. SWI4 is a key TF regulating the G1/S transition of the mitotic cell cycle. RAP1 is involved in chromatin silencing. SKN7 responds to different types of osmotic and oxidative stress while CIN5 is responsible for inducing the cell's response to drugs. The presence of all five TFs in a truth table suggests an intricate process of regulation that governs how the cell responds to external agents of stress potentially by shutting down the cell cycle and controlling its growth.

The truth tables including ACE2, FKH2, MBP1, NDD1, SKN7, SWI4, and SWI6 shed light on other aspects of cellular growth and cell cycle control. FKH2 and NDD1 regulate G2/M-specific transcription in the mitotic cell cycle whereas ACE2 controls G1-specific transcription. MBP1 regulates progression through the cell cycle and is involved in DNA replication. The shared membership of SKN7 and SWI4 in both groups of truth tables leaves open the possibility that as we discover more relationships between TFs and target genes, we may detect truth tables involving all ten TFs, thus coming closer to a more complete picture of transcriptional regulation in conditions of external stress.

5.3 Voting Dimensions of U.S. Senators

We also applied our truth table finding algorithm to voting

patterns of the U.S. Senate. In particular, we obtained the roll call votes for first session of the 102nd Congress in 1991 from the Thomas database at the Library of Congress. This data contains the votes of 101 senators on 280 bills. A roll call vote guarantees that every senator's vote is recorded. We considered a "yes" vote to be a 1 and "no" vote or an abstension to be a 0.

When we used b = 0.01, and s = 1, all truth tables we mined had five or fewer bills. We used the χ^2 test to assess the independence of the bills in a truth table. Of the 60481 five-bill truth tables we found, 17976 were significant at the 0.01 level. We selected one of these significant truth tables at random to qualitatively assess the independence of the bills in it. The truth table we chose contained the bills

- 1 Nunn Resolution Re: Persian Gulf S.J. Res. 1; A joint resolution regarding United States policy to reverse Iraq's occupation of Kuwait.
- 16 Dodd Amdt. No. 11; To amend the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945
- **39** Motion To Table S. Amdt. 59; To eliminate or reduce certain appropriations.
- 133 Byrd amdt.; To provide for an equalization in certain rates of pay, to apply the honoria ban and the provisions of title V of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 to Senators and officers and employees of the Senate, and for other purposes
- **267** Motion To Table D'Amato Amendment No. 1405; To amend the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to clarify the classification of certain motor vehicles

These bills span diverse aspects of the political landscape: war, banking, pork, ethics, and trade.

We also counted the frequency of occurrence of each bill in significant truth tables. Interestingly, the five most frequent bills—39, 66, 97, 267, and 279—form a truth table themselves! Notice that we have already encountered bills 39 and 267. The subjects of the other three bills are the following:

- **66** Moynihan Amdt. No. 249; To amend the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 to apply the limitations on outside earned income to unearned income.
- **97** Motion To Table Amdt. No. 358; To eliminate language which lowers the Federal share payable for certain projects
- 279 Conference Report; Comprehensive Deposit Insurance Reform and Taxpayer Protection Act of 1991

In addition to war (bill 39) and trade (bill 267), these bills pertain to ethics, pork, and insurance reform. Such patterns shed direct light on the weighty deliberations that occupied the members of the 102nd Congress.

6. RELATED WORK

Truth tables have rich connections to a number of wellstudied notions in data mining. We elaborate on these ties in this section.

Truth tables vs correlated and independent itemsets: Because truth tables help identify columns that function independently of each other, it is useful to contrast them with works that seek correlated sets of attributes. Brin et al. [3] were one of the first groups to find correlated sets of (binary) attributes using the χ^2 significance test. They show how correlation using this metric is upward closed (as opposed to support, which is downward-closed) and why this property would not support a levelwise algorithm from bottom to top (in the direction from small itemsets toward large itemsets). However, proceeding from top to bottom is not quite feasible due to the small number of rows that typically remain after projecting over a large number of columns. The TAPER algorithm [7] uses Pearson's correlation metric instead; this work employs a upper bound on the correlation coefficient (for binary variables) to expose monotonicity constraints [7] that are useful for conducting all-pairs correlations queries. Viewing truth tables as contingency tables, the metrics we have defined here—balance and support-naturally translate into the minimum number of entries across all non-empty cells of the table and number of non-empty cells, respectively. Except in special cases (see also discussion related to dense itemsets below), the relation between these metrics and measures such as χ^2 and Pearson's correlation is complex and non-linear. Nevertheless, truth tables offer elegant algorithmic optimizations to identify nearly independent sets of attributes. Truth tables are inherently also related to approaches that seek to quantify independence in binary datasets, e.g., Pavlov et al. [5] (whose end goal is to approximate answers to complex queries) and those that assess the dimensionality of the underlying dataset, e.g., Tatti et al. [17] by counting the number of independent columns. In fact, our work can be generalized into yielding graphical models for binary data [11]. One of the critical issues in building such models is identifying subsets of variables that induce conditional independence constraints. To support such analyses, we can generalize our definition of truth tables to conditional truth tables i.e., a truth table that surfaces only in a subset of the given data.

Truth tables vs dense itemsets: Truth tables with k properties, balance $\lfloor 1/2^k \rfloor$, and support 1 can be viewed as a special case of dense itemsets (defined in [9]) where the density is 50%. Observe, however, that, the density is of a particular nature and is more restrictive than the definition given by Seppanen and Mannila [9]. In particular, the form of density captured by a truth table obeys antimonotonicity constraints without defining it as a statistic over densities of all its constituent sub-truth tables (as is done with the definition of weak density [9]). In general, the sparsity constraints of truth tables can be viewed as a sophisticated intersection statistic [10] over all (conjunctive) boolean expressions over the truth table's columns.

Truth tables vs combinatorial rectangles: The partition of the rows of a truth table into distinct blocks with sufficient balance each is reminiscent of the work by Gionis et al. [1] that aims to identify subsets of rows and columns with a certain level of sparsity. Viewed in light of this work, a truth table is a patchwork of combinatorial rectangles each with a characteristic level of sparsity. However, as mentioned earlier, by exploiting properties that are satisfied by truth tables (but not combinatorial tiles in general), we are able to design effective algorithms.

Truth tables vs non-derivable itemsets: Non-derivable itemsets [20] (NDIs) are an elegant idea that use relationships between the support levels of different subsets of a

generalized itemset (i.e., one that contains both positive and negative attributes) to establish lower and upper bounds on the support of a given itemset. When the lower and upper bounds coincide, this idea can be used to circumvent the evaluation (counting) of support for some itemsets and, thus, more efficiently explore the lattice of itemsets. An NDI is one whose support *cannot* be defined based on the support of its subsets, and thus must be explicitly counted. When viewed as an itemset, a truth table at level k is in fact an NDI when support is 1 and balance is $1/2^k$. This is because every possible bit combination is present and hence such an itemset would need to be explicitly counted. This observation, in alignment with our synthetic studies, reinforces that the most difficult cases for mining truth tables are those datasets where each entry has equal probability of having a '1' or a '0'.

Truth tables vs redescriptions: Truth tables also have close relationships to redescriptions, a recently introduced class of similarity patterns [15]. It can be shown that if a set of columns induces a truth table with a support of 1 (i.e., all bitwise combinations are present), then these columns cannot participate in any redescription between themselves. This observation suggests that the algorithm presented in this paper can be combined with a redescription mining algorithm to fruitfully complement each other, similar to a Pincer search [4] approach. In particular, both the truth table miner and redescription miner can begin levelwise. As soon as any one of them 'succeeds,' it can signal the other to abandon that portion of the search space, thus systematically carving up the lattice of columns into regions that have either redescriptions or truth tables.

7. DISCUSSION

We have formulated the novel data mining problem of finding truth tables in a binary matrix. In the continuum of informative patterns, truth tables reside at the end opposite that where itemsets and association rules lie, since truth tables represent properties that have no depenency patterns between them. The levelwise nature of the proposed mining algorithm means that we can employ many optimizations originally defined for *Apriori*-like algorithms, such as bounding the number of possible candidate patterns at a certain level based on the number of frequent patterns at the level below it [6]. The notion of truth tables displaying 50% sparsity in a characteristic manner deserves further study. For instance, the theoretical question of feasibility of identifying truth tables can be posed under given distributional assumptions (e.g., a Zipf distribution of the 0-1 data).

Our C++ implementation of the algorithm is available under the GNU GPL and can be found at https://bioin formatics.cs.vt.edu/~murali/software. This work was supported in part by the Institute for Critical Technology and Applied Science (ICTAS), Virginia Tech.

8. **REFERENCES**

- A. Gionis et al. Geometric and Combinatorial Tiles in 0-1 Data. In *PKDD*'04, pages 173–184, 2004.
- [2] C. Owens et al. Capturing truthiness: Mining truth tables in binary datasets. Technical report, Virginia Tech, March 2007. http://eprints.cs.vt.edu/archive/00000948/.

- [3] C. Silverstein et al. Beyond Market Baskets: Generalizing Association Rules to Dependence Rules. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, Vol. 2(1):pages 39–68, 1998.
- [4] D. Lin et al. Pincer-Search: An Efficient Algorithm for Discovering the Maximum Frequent Set. *IEEE TKDE*, Vol. 14(3):553–566, 2002.
- [5] D. Pavlov et al. Beyond Independence: Probabilistic Models for Query Approximation on Binary Transaction Data. *IEEE TKDE*, Vol. 15(6):pages 149–1421, 2003.
- [6] F. Geerts et al. Tight Upper Bounds on the Number of Candidate Patterns. ACM Transactions on Database Systems, Vol. 30(2):pages 333–363, June 2005.
- [7] H. Xiong et al. TAPER: A Two-Step Approach for All-Strong-Pairs Correlation Query in Large Databases. *IEEE TKDE*, Vol. 18(4):pages 493–508, 2006.
- [8] J. Fitzgerald et al. Systems Biology and Combination Therapy in the Quest for Clinical Efficacy. *Nature Chemical Biology*, Vol. 2(9):458–466, Sep 2006.
- [9] J. Seppanen et al. Dense Itemsets. In KDD'04, pages 683–688, Aug 2004.
- [10] J.K. Seppanen et al. Using and Extending Itemsets in Data Mining. PhD thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, 2006.
- [11] J.L. Tuegels et al. Generalized Graphical Models for Discrete Data. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, Vol. 38:41–47, May 1998.
- [12] K. Goldberg et al. Eigentaste: A Constant Time Collaborative Filtering Algorithm. *Information Retrieval*, Vol. 4(2):pages 133–151, July 2001.
- [13] L.O. Barrera et al. The transcriptional regulatory code of eukaryotic cells–insights from genome-wide analysis of chromatin organization and transcription factor binding. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 18(3):291–8, 2006.
- [14] M. Natarajan et al. A Global Analysis of Cross-talk in a Mammalian Cellular Signaling Network. *Nature Cell Biology*, Vol. 8(6):571–580, June 2006.
- [15] M.J. Zaki et al. Reasoning about Sets using Redescription Mining. In *KDD'05*, pages 364–373, Aug 2005.
- [16] N. Ramakrishnan et al. Turning CARTwheels: An Alternating Algorithm for Mining Redescriptions. In *KDD*'04, pages 266–275, Aug 2004.
- [17] N. Tatti et al. What is the Dimension of your Binary Data? In *ICDM'06*, pages 603–612, 2006.
- [18] R. Agrawal et al. Fast Algorithms for Mining Association Rules in Large Databases. In VLDB'94, pages 487–499, Sep 1994.
- [19] S.C. Madeira et al. Biclustering Algorithms for Biological Data Analysis: A Survey. *IEEE/ACM TCBB*, Vol. 1(1):24–45, Jan 2004.
- [20] T. Calders et al. Mining all non-derivable frequent itemsets. In *PKDD'02*, pages 74–85, London, UK, 2002. Springer-Verlag.
- [21] T. Lee et al. Transcriptional Regulatory Networks in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Science*, 298(5594):799–804, 2002.
- [22] Truthiness. Wikipedia. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Truthiness.