Evaluating the Dynamic Behavior of Python Applications

Austin Cory Bart CS 6304 – Program Analysis December 1, 2015 KWII 2225

Overview

- Background
 - What is Python?
 - What are Dynamic Features?
- Holkner & Harland (2009)
 - Methodology
 - Results
 - Conclusions
- Ackerblom (2014)

Python

- Dynamically-typed, Strongly-typed, static scoping
- Object-oriented, functional, namespaces,
- Built-ins, batteries included, many libraries
- Whitespace significant, readability

Used for...

- Scripting (vs. Perl, Bash)
- Data science (vs. R, MatLab)
- Education (vs. Java, Racket)
- Web servers (vs. PHP, Ruby)



Tiobe Index

Python – A peculiar culture

import this """The Zen of Python, by <u>Tim Peters</u>. (poster by Joachim Jablon)""" 1 Beautiful is better than uply. 2 Explicit is better than impl.. 3 Simple is better than complex. 4 Complex is better than cOmpl|c@ted. 5 Flat is better than nested. 6 Sparse is better than dense. 7 Readability counts. 8 Special cases aren't special enough to break the rules. 9 Although practicality beats purity. 10 raise PythonicError("Errors should never pass silently.") 11 # Unless explicitly silenced. 12 In the face of ambiguity, refuse the temptation to guess. There should be one-- and preferably only one -- obvious way to do it. 13 14 # Although that way may not be obvious at first unless you're Dutch. 15 Now is better than ... never. 16 Although never is often better than rightnow. If the implementation is hard to explain, it's a bad idea. 17 If the implementation is easy to explain, it may be a good idea. 18 Namespaces are one honking great idea -- let's do more of those! 19

Python vs. JavaScript

- Similarities:
 - Dynamic Typing
 - Control flow: if, foreach, while, functions, etc.
 - Garbage collected, etc.
- Differences
 - Type Coercion
 - Python has more statements
 - setattr/getattr vs. variable['access']
 - Immutability
 - Levels of nothingness
 - Default scoping (var, globals)
 - Whitespace vs. Curlies, Newlines vs. Semicolons

But are they really different?

- Yes.
- JavaScript is terrible.
- Python is wonderful.

 More importantly, JS programmers do more dynamicism than Pythonistas

Four dynamic features

Dynamic Typing

White Magic

my_variable = Dog()
my_variable = "Klaus"
my_variable = House()

Reflection

Grey Magic

my_dog = Dog()
setattr(my_dog, "name", "Klaus")
name = getattr(my_dog, "name")

Dynamic Objects

Grey Magic

my_dog = Dog()
Dog.bark = lambda : "woof"
my_dog.__class__ = Cat
del Dog.bark

```
Dynamic Code
Black Magic
exec('truth= "exec is evil"')
computed = eval('truth')
import ('os')
```

Dynamic languages

 "Giving people a dynamically-typed language does not mean that they write dynamically-typed programs."

Static occurrence of dynamic constructs

Dynamic occurrence of dynamic constructs

Program												% of
	exec	eval	execfile	_import_	LOC	% of LOC	exec	eval	execfile	_import_	Instructions	Instructions
Idle	1	0	1	1	4449	0.07	1	0	0	12	346617	0.004
Gadfly	0	2	0	1	10200	0.03	0	47	0	0	7957055	0.0005
Grail	4	2	0	0	6419	0.09	214	6	0	0	4676698	0.005
HTMLgen	0	4	1	2	4794	0.2		001	10	0	422400	0.0
J	0	0	0	0	1498	0	0	831	10	0	422496	0.2
Lib	11	23	2	12	23754	0.2	0	0	0	0	8096543	0
Pystone	0	0	0	0	186	0	0	0	0	0	6702077	0

"He found that up to 7% of variable stores caused a change of type, and that these stores were localised in up to 5% of the total number of variables"

Aycock, J. (2000), 'Aggressive Type Inference', Proceedings of the 8th International Python Conference pp. 11–20.

Methodology

- Analyze large corpus of Python programs
- Tracing to measure use of dynamic features
- Analyze how they are used against hypotheses

A. Holkner and J. Harland, "Evaluating the dynamic behavior of Python Applications" Proceedings of the 32nd Australian Conference on Computer Science Volumn 91, 2009.

RPython/PyPy

- "Restricted Python"
- Dynamic until ____main___



- A host of changes
 - No mutating class/method attributes
 - Variables have types (non-primitives unioned with None)
 - Lists -> arrays
 - ...

2 Hypotheses

- 1. That programs written in Python generally do not make use of dynamic features, or that if they do, they can be trivially rewritten in a more static style
- 2. That while programs written in Python use dynamic features, they do so mostly during program startup, and afterwards behave like a statically-compiled program

2 Hypotheses

- 1. That programs written in Python generally do not make use of dynamic features, or that if they do, they can be trivially rewritten in a more static style
- 2. That while programs written in Python use dynamic features, they do so mostly during program startup, and afterwards behave like a statically-compiled program

Result: Partially true





Python Bytecode

>>> **def** hello_world(phrase): **print**("Printing", phrase, 5)

• • •

>>> import dis

>>> dis.dis(hello_world)

1 0 LOAD_CONST

- 3 LOAD_FAST
- 6 LOAD_CONST
- 9 BUILD TUPLE

12 PRINT ITEM

- 13 PRINT NEWLINE
- 14 LOAD CONST

17 RETURN_VALUE

- 1 ('Printing')
- 0 (phrase)
- 2 (5)

3

0 (None)

Four dynamic features



Dynamic instrumentation

- Bytecode tracing function
- Uses
 - Current frame
 - VM stack
 - Opcode
 - In main? Manually added
- -> Is dynamic?

Speed impact! Hilarious interactions with game clocks!

○0% ○0 - 5% ○5 - 20% ●20 - 100%

	Game				
astraea.py	·····				
bouncy.py	·····@-@-@-@- @ -@-@-@-@-@-@-Q-Q-Q-@-®-@-Q-Q-				
kimboot.py	·····				
singularity.py					
textureDrum.py	·····				
torus.py	®-●-●-●-●-●-●-0-0-0-0-0-●-●-0	OOOOO@@@@@@@			
	Interactive				
cloud-wiki					
comix					
flauxtext.pyw					
gnofract4d	······································	···O··O··●··⑧··⑧··⑧··⑧··⑧··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O·			
imgSeek	······································				
pyqe					
pywebsms.py					
runlyntin	······································				
skim		···O··O··••·O··@··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··			
takenote	······································				
tst10	······				
webgobbler.py	······································				
	Non-interactive				
aap	······································				
can		···O··O··@··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O			
coverage.py	@-@-@-@-000@@@@@@@				
delxml2html.py		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
dnuos		···O··●··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O··O			
70% higher tidybot.py	······································				
before startup					
	20 18 16 14 12 10 8 6 4 2 0	0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18			

Log frames before startup

Log frames after startup









Their analysis

"In both of these cases it's clear that the programs use dynamic features in ways that cannot be easily translated into non-dynamic code. This indicates that **RPython is not a suitable language for these particular programs**."

> Blatantly not true for torus.py

Usage of Dynamic Features After Startup

Number of programs using each measured dynamic feature at least once after program startup.

	Feature	Programs	Percent				
	call_execfile	C	0%				
	call_reload	C	0%				
	call_delattr	C	0%				
	attr_del	3	13%	← •	• Used as =None		
	attr_mutate_generalize	4	17%		• As part of a reckless copy		
	call_locals	4	. 17%				
	exec_stmt	4	. 17%		Feh!		
	call_eval	5	21%	•	• Int -> float		
	→ call_globals	6	25%		• Str -> Unicode		
Coupled	call_setattr	13	54%		Programmer Error		
	attr_mutate_type	15	63%				
	call_getattr	21	. 88%		Used in standard library		
	attr_add	22	92%	•	Delayed startup		
	attr mutate none	23	96%		• But also legit used		

Depth of Dynamic Frames

• Can we find isolatable chunks of code that we treat statically?



Depth of non-dynamic frames over time (gnofract4d)



High values indicate the current call stack has non-dynamic frames for a large number of parent frames. A zero value indicates the frame is dynamic. The vertical reference line shows the division between startup time and run time.

Depth of non-dynamic frames over time (gnofract4d)



High values indicate the current call stack has non-dynamic frames for a large number of parent frames. A zero value indicates the frame is dynamic. The vertical reference line shows the division between startup time and run time.

Authors' Conclusions

- "Firstly, the hypothesis that programs written in Python generally do not use dynamic features is clearly invalidated."
- "... among the programs tested, 70% have less dynamic activity after startup [and are suitable for Rpython]." Sure!
- "Nearly all programs used reflective features after startup, and over 20% of the programs executed dynamic code."
 Believable
- "We attributed some dynamic object modification to delayed initialization..."

Lingering Questions

- What about Dynamic Typing?
- Are these representative programs?
 - End-user vs. libraries
- Why care about the entire codebase?

"How do Python Programmers Use Python?"

- Beatrice Åkerblom
- 2014 presentation at PyCon
- Python 2.6

Median, Average, Minimum and Maximum for All Features

Per program dynamic feature usage

	Median	Avg	Min	Max
Entire programs	5.8 K	390 K	214	6.7 M
Library start-up	674	4.5 K	81	56 K
Library run-time	883	350 K	0	6.6 M
Program-specific start-up	508	3.2 K	0	33 K
Program-specific run-time	154	33 K	0	610 K



Type Hinting: Future of Python?





```
from typing import Union, List, Dict
   GreetingType = Union[List[str], Dict[int, List[str]]]
   def greeting(names: GreetingType) -> GreetingType:
       fmt = 'Hello, {}'
       if isinstance(names, dict):
           return [(k, fmt.format(', '.join(v))) for k, v in
9
                   names.items()]
10
       else:
           return fmt.format(', '.join(names))
11
12
13 print(greeting(['jane', 'john', 'judy']))
14 print(greeting(
       {10: ['jane', 'judy'],
15
       11: ['iohn'].
16
        12: ['judy', 'john']
17
18
19)
```